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| :--- | :--- |
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## Introduction

This technical report presents the methodological details of the survey on gender gaps in unpaid care, individual and social activities carried out by the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE) in 2022 ( ${ }^{1}$ ). The survey findings will be published in the Gender Equality Index 2023, the policy brief Bridging the gender care gap to achieve work-life balance for all and EIGE's web-based news articles. The list of survey indicators selected is presented in EIGE's Gender Statistics Database (²). In 2024, survey microdata will be made accessible through an open data archive.

Progress towards gender equality is hampered by the unequal distribution of caregiving responsibilities between women and men, particularly in the context of an ageing population. Prior to EIGE's survey, EU data collection on unpaid care was limited in terms of gender sensitivity, recency, depth and comparability over time and across Member States. The aim of the present survey is to address these data gaps and to strengthen the monitoring of gender equality in the EU through EIGE's Gender Equality Index (the survey data will be used to populate the time domain of the Index (3)). The new dataset will also contribute to the monitoring of the EU's 2020-2025 gender equality strategy and the European care strategy.

The survey questionnaire had five key thematic sections: informal long-term care, informal childcare, housework, leisure and volunteering. An additional section collected information on the use of different means of transport, the use of digital
tools and eco-friendly considerations in informal care, because of the increasing policy relevance of these topics. The questionnaire also included background questions on individual and household characteristics and questions on respondents' views on gender roles.

The survey was carried out in all 27 EU Member States (the EU-27), with a total sample size of over 60000 respondents. In most countries, data was collected through computer-assisted web interviews (CAWIs) using established online access panels. In Luxembourg and Malta, however, respondents were interviewed using computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATIs) due to a lack of robust online access panels. The survey targeted respondents from 16 to 74 years, with the exceptions of Luxembourg (16+), Malta (16+) and Romania (16-64). The fieldwork took place between August and October 2022, with two additional fieldwork days at the beginning of November 2022.

This technical report provides detailed information on the complete survey process from survey design to questionnaire development, fieldwork and data preparation. Section 1 presents information on the pre-fieldwork phase and focuses on sampling design. Section 2 describes the questionnaire design, stakeholder consultation process and cognitive pretesting. Section 3 explains the fieldwork process and Section 4 details the post-fieldwork adjustments, covering data cleaning and data weighting procedures.
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## 1. Sampling

### 1.1. Sampling strategy, data collection modes and target population

The survey was implemented in all EU Member States, combining two independent sampling strategies and two data collection modes. In all except two countries (Luxembourg and Malta), data was collected using CAWIs. CAWIs are a method of online survey implementation, with administration carried out through a web browser or mobile application. For the CAWI mode, the target audience was limited to people who had registered with an online access panel, who were residents of one of the Member States and who had access to online services on a digital input device at the time of the survey.

Access to respondents for the CAWIs took place through established online access panels ( ${ }^{4}$ ). Panels are pools of registered respondents who are willing to participate in market and opinion research. To register, respondents must be in the country of the panel to which they are signing up. Their language skills must be sufficient to answer the registration questions and surveys in the local language. If there is more than one widely spoken language in the country, panellists can choose their preferred language at the time of registration. The minimum age requirements vary between countries, depending on national laws and data privacy regulations. Most European countries set a minimum age of 16 . Each panellist is allowed to create a single account; quality validations include checks to identify respondents who are trying to register multiple times ( ${ }^{5}$ ).

Panellists are recruited to online access panels through a variety of channels (e.g. social media, referrals, affiliate networks or media agencies). The recruitment process is open to all. Potential panellists are only added to the panel if they pass specific quality checks, such as unique email identification, unique contact detail detection, duplicate device detection, fraud checks and validation of their locations by mapping their internet protocol to a specific country ( ${ }^{6}$ ). Survey respondents usually receive incentives according to a reward system managed by online panel providers. In most cases, point accumulation mechanisms are used, where each panellist collects points over time through the completion of various questionnaires ( ${ }^{(7)}$.

The survey targeted respondents aged 1674 years across all Member States, with the exceptions of Luxembourg, Malta and Romania. In Romania, finding enough older people on the online access panels was an issue, which was caused by limited internet penetration across the country. This led to a reduced age range (16-64 years) for respondents targeted in Romania.

Robust online access panels do not exist in all EU Member States and particularly not in smaller countries, where the overall size of online access panels is limited. In Luxembourg and Malta, the online access panels could not guarantee a sufficient number of respondents to reach the national sample sizes established (see Section 1.2) in line with the sampling design (see Section 1.3). Therefore, in Luxembourg and Malta respondents were interviewed through CATIs. The sample was constructed using a random digit dialling (RDD) methodology, based on a dual-frame selection procedure that included blocks of Iandline and mobile phone numbers. The target population consisted
$\left({ }^{4}\right)$ The following online panels were used for sampling: Ipsos iSay, Cint, Lucid, DataDiggers, PureSpectrum, Daedalus, JTN Research, P2Sample, Schlesinger, Talk Online, Pulse Market Research and Dalia Research.
${ }^{(5)}$ For example, to join the Ipsos panel, all panellists must provide the following information at registration: name, email, gender, year and month of birth, zip/postal code, household size, age and gender of children (under legal age) living in same household, education, income (education and household income are mandatory at registration in only some countries).
$\left({ }^{6}\right)$ More details on the recruitment process and the quality measures can be found in Ipsos (2023), Ipsos answers to Esomar questions for users and buyers of online samples, p. 10 ff . (https://www.ipsos.com/en/ipsos-answers-esomar-28-questions-help-online-research-buyers).
$\left({ }^{(7)}\right.$ On reaching a certain value of points, the panellist usually can transform their points into 'purchase vouchers' to be used on the most popular online buying/selling platforms. The points are assigned by panel providers based on the number of questions and average time requested for the completion of specific questionnaires.
of all residents in these two countries who were older than 16 years and who could be reached via either a landline or a mobile phone number.

### 1.2. Sample size

The goal of the survey was to interview a total of 60000 respondents across the EU, with varying sample sizes in each Member State, depending on country size: Member States with larger populations had larger sample sizes (but these were not proportional). However, feasibility ( ${ }^{(8)}$ also played an important role in the allocation of sample sizes. The minimum target was 1000 interviews per country, with the exceptions of Cyprus, Luxembourg and Malta, where the target sample size was 500 interviews (Table 1). In total, the survey collected 60716 responses.

Table 1. Target sample size per Member State

| $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$ | Germany, Spain, France, Italy, Poland |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$ | Belgium, Czechia, Greece, Hungary, Netherlands, <br> Portugal, Romania, Sweden |
| $\mathbf{N = \mathbf { 2 0 0 0 }}$ | Bulgaria, Denmark, Austria, Finland |
| $\mathbf{N = \mathbf { 1 0 0 0 }}$ | Estonia, Ireland, Croatia, Latvia, Lithuania, Slove- <br> nia, Slovakia |
| $\mathbf{N = 5 0 0}$ | Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta |

### 1.3. Sampling design

### 1.3.1. Sampling approach for computerassisted web interviews

The CAWI samples were quota samples. Hard quotas ( ${ }^{9}$ ) to guide sample management were set for age, gender and NUTS (nomenclature of territorial
units for statistics) region. Target quotas were based on Eurostat official statistics and reflected the stratification of the category for the population in a Member State. Although the official (target) age distribution was limited to 16-74 years (and 1664 years in Romania), respondents who were older were still allowed to take part in the survey in all countries (to facilitate the quota achievement for those aged 64-74 years) $\left({ }^{(10}\right)$. The region quota variable was set at the NUTS level, which was defined individually per country to guarantee a broad regional distribution in every country (Table 2).

Table 2. NUTS level used to establish quotas for each Member State

| NUTS 1 | Germany, France |
| :---: | :--- |
| NUTS 2 | Belgium, Bulgaria, Czechia, Denmark, Greece, <br> Spain, Italy, Hungary, Netherlands, Austria, Po- <br> land, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Finland, Swe- <br> den |
| NUTS 3 | Estonia, Ireland, Croatia, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithua- <br> nia, Slovenia |

NB: Luxembourg and Malta are not included in the table, as CAWIs were not conducted.

In addition to the hard quotas, several monitoring quotas $\left({ }^{(11)}\right.$ were also set. These included the:

- education level of the respondent (International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) level of low, medium, high);
- household composition (one person, two people, three or more people);
- presence of children in the household (yes, no);
- degree of urbanisation (city, town or suburb, rural area).
$\left({ }^{8}\right)$ Feasibility estimates are based on the overall sample definition, demographic information and other qualification criteria for the survey, incidence levels, the number of completions required, estimated survey duration, fieldwork duration and whether any stimuli are involved.
$\left({ }^{9}\right)$ Hard quotas served as screening criteria to define eligible respondents for the survey.
$\left({ }^{10}\right)$ In total, 245 respondents older than 75 years participated in the survey across all countries.
$\left({ }^{11}\right)$ Monitoring quotas were predefined variables that were included in the monitoring stage to track the variables' frequencies during fieldwork. However, they were not supposed to intervene in the screening process.

The Eurostat classification system $\left({ }^{(2)}\right.$ was used to categorise the degree of urbanisation. It classifies local administrative units into three types of areas, based on the share of the local population living in urban clusters and in urban centres.

### 1.3.2. Sampling approach for computerassisted telephone interviews with random digit dialling

The samples in Luxembourg and Malta were based on a dual-frame CATI RDD probability sample. The RDD approach involved generating telephone numbers using a machine by randomly adding the last set of digits to known valid area codes and exchange numbers. Other than the approximate geographical location of this number based on the area code and telephone exchange, nothing else was known about the number. The number could belong to a public telephone box, business, fax machine, etc.

The sampling approach for the CATIs was different from that of the CAWIs: no quotas were applied in Luxembourg or Malta, as the sampling was random. Any discrepancies between the net sample and representative figures were adjusted with weighting afterwards.

All households with a telephone had an equal chance of selection for the survey. This sampling method included fixed-line and mobile phone numbers, even if not listed in telephone registers. It is this random process that makes the data representative, because there is no selection bias. There are minor but noteworthy differences between samples in relation to landline and mobile phone numbers.

## Landline telephone sample

Most households have one landline number and, in households with more than one person, sever-
al members of the target audience use the same number. A landline sample is therefore a household sample. To ensure that each person in households with more than one eligible person had the same chance to be selected in the sample, a second probability selection was applied: the birthday key. The contacted person was first asked about the number of eligible people in the household (at least 16 years old with sufficient language skills to complete the survey). If there were several eligible household members, interviewers selected the person whose birthday was most recent. Due to the almost even distribution of birthdates over the year, this is a recognised procedure for selecting respondents from a household sample.

## Mobile phone sample

Mobile phones are mostly used by a single person who is directly available when calling the number. For these cases, no respondent selection is necessary, as the user is considered to be the respondent. To verify that the mobile phone is only used by one target person, respondents were asked whether they use the mobile phone exclusively and via how many mobile phone numbers they could be reached.

## Mobile phone and landline sample mix

The distribution of landline and mobile phone numbers in the sample was dependent on local infrastructure. Recommendations by the local implementing CATI studios ( ${ }^{13}$ ) to use 70 \% landline and 30 \% mobile phones in Malta and 60 \% landline and $40 \%$ mobile phones in Luxembourg were followed. Percentages for both phone types were monitored during fieldwork and achieved in practice.
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## 2. Questionnaire design

### 2.1. Preparation of the draft questionnaire

### 2.1.1. Design of the questionnaire

The questionnaire design combined two approaches. The first focused on identifying and applying 'tried-and-tested' survey questions from existing recognised surveys measuring relevant concepts. This approach ensured higher comparability and validity of the results. Eurostat's Labour Force Survey and Statistics on Income and Living Conditions, EIGE's survey on gender equality and the socioeconomic consequences of COV-ID-19, the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions' European Working Conditions Survey and European Quality of Life Survey and the European Commission's implementing guidelines for standardised social variables ( ${ }^{(14)}$ provided an important basis for the questionnaire.

The second approach consisted of designing case-tailored and context-specific questions. Broad, theoretical concepts of the study were translated into properly measurable indicators and then into survey questions. In this process, EIGE relied on the best practices in survey methodology. For example, the specificities of factual, behavioural and attitudinal questions were thoroughly addressed. It was important to ensure that the questionnaire would measure what it was intended to measure (i.e. have high construct validity). In terms of content, the questions and their possible answers were based on the most recent research and relevant EU documents in the field.

The questionnaire contained around 80 questions. The questionnaire included numerous filters; therefore, the number of questions a respondent received depended on their selection of responses during the survey itself. CATI respond-
ents had to answer several additional questions that were necessary for weighting.

### 2.1.2. Thematic scope of the questionnaire

The survey questionnaire was divided into five key thematic sections: informal long-term care, informal childcare, housework, leisure and volunteering. One additional section collected information on the use of means of transport, digital tools and sustainable resources in care, because of the increasing policy relevance of these topics. Another section included questions concerning general information on individual and household characteristics and gender attitudes.

Table 3 outlines the themes and concepts covered in the questionnaire. A number of gender-related themes that were relevant to all five thematic areas were incorporated into the questionnaire. First, the questionnaire asked about the amount of time spent on activities in each thematic area, recognising that there are large gender differences in time use. More specifically, the questionnaire asked how much time the respondent spends in a typical week on each thematic area, based on predetermined time ranges. Another key aspect across the thematic areas is the type of tasks or activities that the individual undertakes. The literature was unanimous in saying that tasks/activities tend to be gendered, with one gender tending to carry out particular tasks/activities more than the other.

Regarding informal long-term care, informal childcare and housework, the questionnaire asked about the type and frequency of tasks the individual carries out. The tasks chosen were based on findings from the literature review in addition to tasks typically included in other prominent EU surveys. For example, informal long-term care was divided into personal and emotional care, household help and administrative/other help. Within the thematic area of leisure, the decision was

[^2]made to distinguish between leisure activities and sports and health-enhancing leisure activities as a result of the stakeholder consultation, recognising that there are likely to be gender differences in participation. This decision was also prompted by the fact that health-enhancing leisure activities translate into a number of benefits in other areas of life. The thematic area of volunteering was disaggregated into volunteering, charitable and political activities, recognising that there are likely to be similar gender differences in participation, with implications for opportunities in other areas of life.

Another key aspect emphasised in the questionnaire relates to the possibility/ability to outsource unpaid care tasks to external services, such as long-term care services, childcare services and housework services. The availability and affordability of these services act as determinants of the type of tasks that individuals themselves undertake and the time spent on the tasks. The questions in the survey therefore relate to the type of services used, the number of hours of service received and the prevalence of and reasons for unmet needs.

In relation to informal long-term care and childcare, questions about the number of care recipients, the care recipients' ages, whether they live within or outside the household and the relationship with the recipient were included due to their relevance to gender differences in the type of tasks carried out and the time spent on the tasks. As an indication of the time pressure and possibilities for receiving help, respondents were also asked if relatives, friends or other people provide unpaid help with informal long-term care and childcare.

The questionnaire contained a number of questions about a cohabitating spouse/partner as a determinant of gender differences in unpaid care and paid work. If the respondent cohabitated with their spouse/partner, they were asked about the division of tasks with their partner, namely in the areas of informal long-term care, childcare and housework. Similarly, these respondents were asked about how their leisure time compares with the leisure time spent by their cohabitating partner, the type of leisure activities they engage in and with whom they spend their leisure time, because gender differences exist in not only the time spent on leisure, but also how leisure time is spent.

In addition to these key thematic areas, other broader topics are also addressed in the questionnaire. A section on gender attitudes enabled the measurement of individuals' views towards the roles that women and men have in society, which act as both micro-level determinants of the division of tasks within a household and macro-level determinants of the contextual impact on gender differences in time (i.e. societal attitudes and norms regarding gender roles). Work-life balance and issues on the reconciliation of work and caring responsibilities were also raised in the informal long-term care, informal childcare and housework sections. More specifically, the questionnaire asked the respondent how often they experience difficulties combining paid work with care and housework responsibilities and what implications care has had on their paid work. The final section of the questionnaire included the questions currently used to calculate the time domain of the Gender Equality Index ( ${ }^{15}$ ).

[^3]Table 3. Themes and concepts covered in the questionnaire

| Determinants | Key thematic areas |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Informal longterm care | Informal childcare | Housework | Voluntary, charitable and political activities | Leisure (health enhancing and other) |
| Time (quantity of time / time intensity) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Tasks/activities | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Use of services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Division of tasks within household | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Work-life balance | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Sustainable resource use | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Use of digital tools | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |

To address a gap identified in the literature review, the questionnaire also asked individuals about their environmentally sustainable behaviours / resource use and the ways in which these behaviours and choices affect the time they spend on unpaid care and work. Environmentally sustainable actions and behaviours result in gender differences in carbon footprints, largely because of the division of labour within households. In recognition of the increasing importance of digitalisation and its ability to reduce time spent on tasks and to increase productivity, respondents were asked about the frequency with which they use digital tools and resources in care activities and housework.

Many determinants related to gendered aspects of time use (particularly the time spent, the type of tasks and the division of tasks within a household) were identified in the literature across thematic areas. The questionnaire incorporated the following individual characteristics: gender, age, education, personal income, employment (status and
working hours), number of children, health and limitations (proxy for disability) (Table 4). House-hold-level questions were also included due to their importance in determining the division of time and tasks among household members and the need/ability to outsource care to external support services. These questions addressed aspects such as household composition (i.e. number and age of children in the household, cohabitating spouse/partner, cohabitating parents/grandparents) and those related to income (i.e. who contributes what proportion of income and the household's ability to make ends meet). For similar reasons, partner information, such as age, gender, education and employment (status and working hours) was also incorporated (Table 4). The prominence of teleworking brought on by the pandemic was also identified as an important factor in gender differences in unpaid care and paid work. One question therefore asked working individuals about their frequency of teleworking. The inclusion of all of these sociodemographic variables will allow for the exploration of intersecting inequalities.

Table 4. Sociodemographic variables

| Variable | Respondent | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | $\checkmark$ | Respondent's cohabiting partner |
| Age | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Education (*) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Employment status | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Working time (weekly hours) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Country of birth | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Degree of urbanisation | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Household size | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Composition of household(number of children, | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Partner/parents/grandparents living together) |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Income (*) | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Disability |  | $\checkmark$ |

(*) Questions were tailored to national contexts; see Annexes 3 and 4.

### 2.2. Validation of the master questionnaire

The draft master questionnaire was enhanced by EIGE's stakeholder consultations $\left({ }^{(16)}\right.$ and the stakeholders' feedback. Furthermore, the development of the questionnaire benefited from the process of validation, which involved the sequential steps of conducting cognitive interviews and then piloting the translated questionnaires.

The cognitive interviews helped to evaluate whether the questions were clearly formulated and whether the respondents interpreted them in the same way regardless of their cultural background. Tests were conducted in English with a convenience sample of nine respondents. The sample had a reasonable distribution of different genders, age groups and household characteristics.

Methodologically, the cognitive testing used the think aloud technique, in which the subject is encouraged to think aloud, describing their thought processes as they answer the survey questions. The cognitive tests were intended to gather the degree of difficulty respondents experienced
when they engaged with the survey and tried to formulate accurate responses. The following categories were created to cover potential problems.

- Understanding of the instruction. As the survey itself was self-administered, it was important that respondents understood the instructions that came with the questions. Respondents should have known whether they were supposed to select only one or several answers and whether they had to answer or could skip the question.
- Acceptableness of the question. Certain questions could have been perceived as sensitive and touching on issues that were too personal (especially health topics, occupation, income or political activities).
- Recall of answers. This concerned whether respondents could recall their answers to questions referring to the last month or week.
- Understanding of the question. All questions should have been written in clear, simple and understandable language.

[^4]- Exhaustiveness/relevance of the answer categories offered. This covered whether the answer categories offered were appropriate and exhaustive or additional answer categories should have been added.

The results of the cognitive web interviews led to several changes.

- Several questions and response options were rephrased (or new response options were added) to make them shorter and more comprehensible.
- The wording in some questions was changed to make the language more consistent throughout the questionnaire.


### 2.3. Translation of the questionnaire

After the questionnaire was validated through cognitive interviewing, the revised master questionnaire, which was in English, was translated into 23 official languages of the EU (all except Irish), Catalan and Russian. Table 5 provides an overview of the translated questionnaires that were available for each Member State.

Translations were done by Ipsos's in-house transIation team, which has a pool of about 50 linguists at its disposal. The translation team worked with centrally approved suppliers and ensured that high-quality translations were provided. The quality assurance of the translated questionnaires involved the following steps.

- Translation was done by a person or people with language skills comparable to moth-er-tongue competence in the source and target languages. At least one of the translators had prior experience with the wording of this type of document.
- Checking and revision was done by a person or people other than the translator, with the appropriate competence in the source or target languages to examine the translation's suitability for purpose.
- EIGE selected a sample of translations and reviewed them in house. Ipsos ensured that any issues detected were not repeated in other translations.

Special attention was also paid to country-specific terminology and its correct translation in the country questionnaires. The priority was to ensure cultural equivalence rather than a literal translation.

Table 5. Language availability per Member State

| Member State | First language | Second language |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | Dutch | French |
| Bulgaria | Bulgarian |  |
| Czechia | Czech |  |
| Denmark | Danish |  |
| Germany | German |  |
| Estonia | Estonian | Russian |
| Ireland | English |  |
| Greece | Greek |  |
| Spain | Spanish | Catalan |
| France | French |  |
| Croatia | Croatian |  |
| Italy | Italian |  |


| Member State | First language | Second language |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cyprus | Greek |  |
| Latvia | Latvian | Russian |
| Lithuania | Lithuanian | Russian |
| Luxembourg | German | French |
| Hungary | Hungarian |  |
| Malta | English | Maltese |
| Netherlands | German |  |
| Austria | Polish |  |
| Poland | Portuguese |  |
| Portugal | Romanian |  |
| Romania | Slovenian |  |
| Slovenia | Slovakian |  |
| Slovakia | Finnish |  |
| Finland | Swedish |  |
| Sweden |  |  |

### 2.4. Pilot of the questionnaire

Before the full mail-out, the survey was softlaunched (about $N=100$ ) in every country for a final field test. The objective of the pretesting was to validate the questionnaire, in particular with regard to:

- the duration of the questionnaire (i.e. to assess the average time required to fill the survey);
- non-response (i.e. to consider whether any questions might be considered problematic because of a large share of respondents using the categories 'don't know' and/or 'prefer not to answer');
- the plausibility of the questionnaire flow (i.e. to assess whether the filters were correctly programmed and whether there was logical consistency in the answers given by the respondents).

The field test assured that the programming had been implemented in all countries as specified in the programming instructions in the master questionnaire. This included item and block randomisations and also routings, translations and variable questions. No major issues were identified during the piloting process. The completed pilot interviews were counted towards the total number of interviews per country.

## 3. Fieldwork

### 3.1. Fieldwork preparations

Respondents were chosen using the international panel providers and the selection criteria defined by the quota-sampling method (see Section 1). Potential respondents received email invitations to participate in the survey. The invitation included a description of the survey. Panellists could opt out if they wanted to. The individual credential access prevented the same respondent from filling out the questionnaire more than once.

### 3.2. Fieldwork monitoring

Fieldwork progress was monitored closely in each country daily. The monitoring covered the number of interviews completed per country, the percentages in the quota variables and the median duration of the survey. Panel managers also undertook close monitoring of possible technical issues, such as number of times that the link was accessed, time respondents spent on the survey and dropout rates. They also monitored which quota characteristic targets had not yet been achieved and monitored the sending of reminder emails to participants who had not completed the survey. In line with standard procedures for social research projects, fieldwork in all CAWI countries started with fixed quotas on age, gender and region, but, as soon as fieldwork progress started to slow, limited deviations were allowed (see Section 3.4.3 for more details).

### 3.3. Fieldwork dates

Fieldwork started in August 2022 and ended in October 2022. Table 6 provides an overview of the fieldwork dates for each country. Luxembourg and Malta stand out as exceptions, as CATI fieldwork takes considerably longer than CAWI fieldwork. During data preparation and the final quality checks, it was noted that Catalan-speaking minorities in Spain and Russian-speaking minorities in

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania had not been covered. Although they had been invited to participate, there were no interviews completed with them. Therefore, fieldwork began again at the beginning of November to ensure representative coverage in these countries.

Table 6. Fieldwork dates by Member State

| Member State | Fieldwork dates |
| :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 7.10.2022-18.10.2022 |
| Bulgaria | 7.10.2022-24.10.2022 |
| Czechia | 30.9.2022-7.10.2022 |
| Denmark | 5.10.2022-13.10.2022 |
| Germany | 23.9.2022-6.10.2022 |
| Estonia | 13.10.2022-25.10.2022, 10.11.2022 |
| Ireland | 17.8.2022-24.8.2022 |
| Greece | 5.10.2022-25.10.2022 |
| Spain | 7.10.2022-20.10.2022, 9.11.2022 |
| France | 27.9.2022-4.10.2022 |
| Croatia | 11.10.2022-3.10.2022 |
| Italy | 23.9.2022-30.9.2022 |
| Cyprus | 12.10.2022-26.10.2022 |
| Latvia | 13.10.2022-21.10.2022, 9.11.2022 |
| Lithuania | 13.10.2022-18.10.2022, 9.11.2022 |
| Luxembourg | 23.9.2022-25.10.2022 |
| Hungary | 5.10.2022-14.10.2022 |
| Malta | 17.9.2022-5.10.2022 |
| Netherlands | 21.9.2022-6.10.2022 |
| Austria | 28.9.2022-13.10.2022 |
| Poland | 28.9.2022-12.10.2022 |
| Portugal | 23.9.2022-7.10.2022 |
| Romania | 16.9.2022-26.9.2022 |
| Slovenia | 16.9.2022-24.9.2022 |
| Slovakia | 21.9.2022-30.9.2022 |
| Finland | 19.10.2022-5.10.2022 |
| Sweden | 14.9.2022-24.9.2022 |

### 3.4. Fieldwork outcomes

The survey collected responses from 60716 respondents, surpassing the initial target of 60000 respondents. All country-level sample sizes were achieved. In Estonia, Spain, France, Italy, Latvia and Lithuania, the final numbers of interviews completed were slightly higher than the initial targets.

### 3.4.1. Survey duration

The duration of the survey was similar in all CAWI countries (see Annex 5). The median duration ranged from 10 minutes and 20 seconds in Ireland to 15 minutes and 23 seconds in Cyprus. Depending on the filters of the questionnaire (such as whether respondents were providing long-term care, providing childcare and/or engaging in housework), respondents could experience a shorter or longer survey. Participation in CATIs took considerably longer, as all questions and answer scales had to be read out by interviewers. In Malta, the median interview duration was 19 minutes and 59 seconds, while in Luxembourg it was 21 minutes and 45 seconds.

### 3.4.2. Dropout rates

The number of dropouts (i.e. the number of respondents who opened the link but decided not to complete the survey) in relation to the number of interviews completed shows relatively low dropout rates in Austria and Poland and rather high rates in Malta and Slovakia (Table 7). In Malta, the
main reason for not completing the interview was the duration of the survey.

To identify anyone who displayed inattentive sur-vey-taking behaviour (i.e. completed the survey too quickly), the overall time spent in the survey was measured, along with the number of answers provided. This allowed the calculation of a completion speed for each respondent, measured as the number of answers provided per minute. If the automated quality system identified respondents as 'speeders' or 'straight-liners', they were removed from the survey.

A speeder is someone who completes a survey at least three times quicker than the median speed for the survey. Speeders were identified and removed from live surveys in real time. The process was fully automated and standardised across all countries and all sample sources.

A straight-liner is someone who has a straight-line response pattern across one or more grids and (i) completes the survey at least two times quicker than the median speed registered for the survey or (ii) provides such responses, regardless of their survey completion speed, to a survey that has statements phrased in opposing directions designed to encourage the respondent to use a range of scale points across the grid. As with the detection of speeders, the straight-lining module ran on live surveys in real time, operating in a fully automated and standardised way. Both the speeding and straight-lining algorithms were self-adjusting. They did not use fixed, predefined benchmarks; the threshold levels were derived in real time based on previous respondents' behaviours within the survey.

Table 7. Dropout rates per Member State

| Member State | Number of surveys completed | Number of dropouts | Number of speeders/ straight-liners | Total sample size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 3000 | 765 | 221 | 3986 |
| Bulgaria | 2000 | 737 | 150 | 2887 |
| Czechia | 3000 | 424 | 116 | 3540 |
| Denmark | 2000 | 489 | 193 | 2682 |
| Germany | 4000 | 565 | 218 | 4783 |
| Estonia | 1043 | 206 | 53 | 1302 |
| Ireland | 1000 | 214 | 45 | 1259 |
| Greece | 3000 | 640 | 149 | 3789 |
| Spain | 4097 | 867 | 189 | 5153 |
| France | 4001 | 560 | 179 | 4740 |
| Croatia | 1000 | 206 | 42 | 1248 |
| Italy | 4002 | 496 | 175 | 4673 |
| Cyprus | 500 | 184 | 40 | 724 |
| Latvia | 1043 | 307 | 61 | 1411 |
| Lithuania | 1030 | 323 | 45 | 1398 |
| Luxembourg | 500 | 126 | n.a. | 656 |
| Hungary | 3000 | 801 | 221 | 4022 |
| Malta | 500 | 1549 | n.a. | 2049 |
| Netherlands | 3000 | 807 | 178 | 3985 |
| Austria | 2000 | 194 | 29 | 2223 |
| Poland | 4000 | 277 | 75 | 4352 |
| Portugal | 3000 | 623 | 152 | 3775 |
| Romania | 3000 | 462 | 140 | 3602 |
| Slovenia | 1000 | 164 | 48 | 1212 |
| Slovakia | 1000 | 415 | 71 | 1486 |
| Finland | 2000 | 372 | 93 | 2465 |
| Sweden | 3000 | 645 | 247 | 3892 |

NB: n.a., not applicable.

### 3.4.3. Quotas

The CAWI sampling applied quotas to ensure a representative distribution of genders, ages and regions (see Section 1.3). As Annex 1 demonstrates, the unweighted (net) sample does not differ much from the representative distribution. However, in line with standard procedures for so-
cial research projects, quota deviations of no more than $15 \%$ were allowed in most countries as soon as fieldwork progress started to slow. More significant deviations (> $20 \%$ ) from the initial quotas were needed in Bulgaria, Greece and Cyprus, as it was very difficult to achieve the quotas set for the older population in these countries.

Furthermore, there were three additional monitoring quotas for which a good representation of the subgroups was envisaged. The degree of urbanisation - categorising the place of living into cities, towns and suburbs and rural areas - was covered very well in the net sample, even without hard quotas. For household size, the sample has a bias towards households with more respondents whereas single households are underrepresented. In addition, households with dependent children are slightly overrepresented in the sample.

### 3.4.4. Language splits

As shown in Table 5, in most countries the questionnaire was accessible in only one language. However, in some countries (Belgium, Estonia, Spain, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg and Malta), due to national particularities, a second language was offered. Table 8 presents the distribution of the language splits as the percentage of interviews completed.

Table 8. Percentage of respondents completing surveys in each language for Member States offering the survey in a second language

| Member State | First language (\%) | Second language (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | Dutch: 66.4 | French: 33.6 |
| Estonia | Estonian: 95.9 | Russian: 4.1 |
| Spain | Spanish: 97.6 | Catalan: 2.4 |
| Latvia | Latvian: 95.9 | Russian: 4.1 |
| Lithuania | Lithuanian: 97.1 | Russian: 2.9 |
| Luxembourg | German: 24.8 | French: 75.2 |
| Malta | English: 5.8 | Maltese: 94.2 |

## 4. Data processing

### 4.1. Preparation and cleaning procedure

Once the fieldwork was completed, the dataset was cleaned to ensure high data quality. During the quality checks, some numeric questions showed the need for data cleaning. In the following questions, the numeric range had not been limited during the programming and a series of respondents had inserted figures that were clearly implausible:

- QA5, number of hours worked per week,
- QA7, household size,
- QA8.1 to QA8.4, number of children in the household,
- QA14, number of hours their partner works per week,
- QB2.1 to QB2.3, number of care recipients,
- QC2.1.a to QC2.4.c, number of children for whom respondents provide childcare,
- QH4, number of hours slept per week.

Therefore, it was decided that new numeric variables should be added that limit the range of the answers by considering the highest possible value as the open interval. In all variables, the decision on the cut-off point was made on the basis of actual frequencies, with the aim of around $98 \%$ or $99 \%$ of answers being within the range defined. For questions with multiple items, one suitable common value (cut-off point) was sought for all items within the same question.

The next step was to check the coherence of the relationship of the quantitative variables referring to household composition (household size, children living in the household, care provided to own children living in the household, etc.) and also of
those variables referring to time allocation (working and sleeping time). This analysis resulted in the elimination of 311 respondents who had a high level of inconsistency in their replies, which resulted in a final validated sample of 60405 respondents. The remaining inconsistencies found were solved by imputing plausible values from the information available.

### 4.2. Weighting procedure

Official Eurostat statistics (used in the sampling design) were used to compute calibration and population size weights (PSWs). Calibration weights aim to adjust sociodemographic characteristics in the sample population to the distribution of the larger target population. These weights are used for within-country analyses.

PSWs are necessary for rescaling the weights to a shared denominator across all countries. These weights must be applied whenever the aims are to analyse different countries together and avoid, for example, the over-representation of small countries when comparing them with bigger ones.

### 4.2.1. Computer-assisted web interview calibration weights

Although the CAWI sample was based on quotas, deviations from official statistics appeared due to limited lifting of initial quotas and a bias towards those with a higher education level, which occurs with almost all survey modes. Therefore, the sample was weighted per country by sex, age, region and education level. The random iterative method weighting (also known as iterative proportional fitting) procedure was used. This weighting procedure allows weighting to be conducted with multiple variables, so that, after a predefined maximum number of iterations, the weighted distribution matches the target value of all selected variables.

### 4.2.2. Computer-assisted telephone interview calibration weights

The CATI dual-frame weighting was a multistage process.

## Transformation of the (landline telephone) household sample into a person sample

As only one person per household was interviewed, this sampling procedure delivered a household sample, meaning that each household had the same probability of being included in the sample, but each person did not. By means of transforma-
tion, the equal sampling probability of households was converted to the equal sampling probability of individuals. To do so, each record was multiplied by the number of people aged 16 years or older living in the household. To obtain the real number of interviews, this number was subsequently divided by the sample's average household size, including the number of landlines on which the household could be reached in order to compensate for different sampling probabilities.

In this process, an important factor was the total number of available telephone numbers (separated into landline and mobile) in the CATI survey countries (Table 9).

Table 9. Total number of landline and mobile numbers in Luxembourg and Malta

| Member State | Landline | Mobile | Source and reference year (*) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luxembourg | 267400 | 835900 | Institut Luxembourgeois de Régulation, 2019 |
| Malta | 256838 | 634386 | Malta Communications Authority, 2019 |

${ }^{(*)}$ Numbers were extracted from the International Telecommunication Union's country-level ICT data on fixed telephone subscriptions and mobile cellular subscriptions; sources are for the original data.
Sources: International Telecommunication Union (n.d.), 'Fixed-telephone subscriptions', Excel file; International Telecommunication Union (n.d.), 'Mobile-cellular subscriptions', Excel file. See the International Telecommunication Union web page 'Statistics' (https:// www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Pages/stat/default.aspx).

Transformation of the (landline telephone) household sample and (mobile phone) individual sample into a person sample

The landline and mobile phone tranches were combined and weighted for joint analysis. The weighting formula of this transformation produced an equal sampling probability for all individuals, no longer taking into account their use of landline telephone or mobile phone. The probability of being selected for the survey consisted of the probability of being selected for the landline telephone sample plus the probability of being selected for the mobile phone sample.

Since losses in samples are not spread evenly across all population strata, the structure of the unweighted sample regularly and systematically deviates from the population structure obtained by official data. By weighting, the structure of the unweighted sample was adjusted to the official Eurostat statistics (the variables region, sex, age
and education were used). The same random iterative method weighting procedure was used as for CATIs.

### 4.2.3. Population size weights

PSWs are used when combining data for two or more countries. In this context, it is advisable to use PSWs in combination with calibration weights (calibration weight $\times$ PSW).

The responses obtained in each country should have a weight proportionate to the population size of the country and the country sample size. For this purpose, the PSW was computed as the population ratio (ratio between the Member State's population aged 16-74 and the total EU-27 population aged 16-74) divided by the sample ratio (ratio between the Member State's sample size and the total sample size), according to the formula below.

This approach allows the weight of the country's population to be adjusted within the total population. It also allows the weight of the interviews collected in a certain country to be adjusted within the total sample. In other words, PSWs take into account how much more (or less) each individual Member State interview should be weighted in the overall EU analysis. PSWs realign a Member State's
population weight with the total number of interviews conducted there.

Table 10 shows the population aged 16-74 in each Member State, the country sample sizes, the population ratios, the sample ratios and the PSWs computed.

Table 10. PSWs

| Member State | Population aged 16-74 | Sample size | Population ratio (\%) | Sample ratio (\%) | PSW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 8486512 | 2976 | 2.57 | 4.93 | 0.520716 |
| Bulgaria | 5158688 | 1983 | 1.56 | 3.28 | 0.475030 |
| Czechia | 7821992 | 2994 | 2.36 | 4.96 | 0.477057 |
| Denmark | 4292582 | 1989 | 1.30 | 3.29 | 0.394084 |
| Germany | 61594894 | 3995 | 18.62 | 6.61 | 2.815352 |
| Estonia | 974680 | 1035 | 0.29 | 1.71 | 0.171960 |
| Ireland | 3664786 | 994 | 1.11 | 1.65 | 0.673235 |
| Greece | 7726583 | 2973 | 2.34 | 4.92 | 0.474567 |
| Spain | 35559500 | 4074 | 10.75 | 6.74 | 1.593820 |
| France | 48518306 | 3994 | 14.67 | 6.61 | 2.218208 |
| Croatia | 2911594 | 995 | 0.88 | 1.65 | 0.534334 |
| Italy | 43809401 | 3981 | 13.24 | 6.59 | 2.009462 |
| Cyprus | 686235 | 497 | 0.21 | 0.82 | 0.252128 |
| Latvia | 1372548 | 1036 | 0.41 | 1.72 | 0.241920 |
| Lithuania | 2099277 | 1023 | 0.63 | 1.69 | 0.374713 |
| Luxembourg | 493469 | 498 | 0.15 | 0.82 | 0.180940 |
| Hungary | 7362421 | 2984 | 2.23 | 4.94 | 0.450533 |
| Malta | 403466 | 495 | 0.12 | 0.82 | 0.148835 |
| Netherlands | 13118774 | 2984 | 3.97 | 4.94 | 0.802785 |
| Austria | 6751618 | 1985 | 2.04 | 3.29 | 0.621086 |
| Poland | 28742736 | 3983 | 8.69 | 6.59 | 1.317718 |
| Portugal | 7728205 | 2986 | 2.34 | 4.94 | 0.472600 |
| Romania | 14276786 | 2982 | 4.32 | 4.94 | 0.874234 |
| Slovenia | 1575298 | 996 | 0.48 | 1.65 | 0.288807 |
| Slovakia | 4164967 | 997 | 1.26 | 1.65 | 0.762818 |


| Member State | Population aged <br> $16-74$ | Sample size | Population <br> ratio (\%) | Sample ratio (\%) | PSW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finland | 4058250 | 1985 | 1.23 | 3.29 | 0.373321 |
| Sweden | 7448482 | 2991 | 2.25 | 4.95 | 0.454733 |
| Total | 330802050 | 60405 |  |  |  |

### 4.3. Anonymisation and data protection procedures

The questionnaire coding and data processing were carried out in accordance with the requirements listed under Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).

An integrated EU-27 dataset was created based on the anonymised national datasets. The anonymisation procedure prevented respondents from being identified. A separate informed consent clause was included in the introductory text of the survey. This informed respondents of their rights to data access, rectification, withdrawal and restriction, the right to object to data processing and the right to lodge a complaint with the data protection supervisory authority.

## Annexes

## Annex 1. Quota overview by Member State

Note: Numerical data in this annex are rounded to one decimal place; therefore, small differences in the percentages cited may not show and may not add up to 100 \%.

Note: Numerical data reported below is based on the dataset following the preparation and cleaning procedure described in section 4.1. As a result, the total unweighted sample reported for all Member States is lower than the sample size reported above in Table 7, Section 3.4.2.

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Belgium

| Belgium |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 3000 | 2976 | 2974 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.0 | 50.3 | 49.7 |
|  | Male | 50.0 | 49.4 | 49.9 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 13.7 |
|  | 25-39 | 26.7 | 23.8 | 26.6 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.3 | 27.8 | 27.4 |
|  | 55-74 | 32.0 | 33.1 | 31.0 |
|  | 75+ |  | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 27.3 | 16.4 | 27.3 |
|  | Medium | 36.9 | 35.8 | 36.9 |
|  | High | 35.8 | 47.8 | 35.9 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 34.8 | 21.4 | 21.0 |
|  | Two people | 32.2 | 36.0 | 35.6 |
|  | Three or more people | 33.2 | 42.6 | 43.4 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 22.6 | 40.0 | 40.7 |
|  | No | 77.4 | 60.0 | 59.3 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 31.7 | 30.7 | 30.9 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 54.4 | 54.0 | 53.9 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 13.9 | 15.4 | 15.2 |


| Belgium |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Prov. Antwerpen | 16.1 | 16.5 | 16.1 |
|  | Prov. Brabant wallon | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.5 |
|  | Prov. Hainaut | 11.8 | 12.0 | 11.9 |
|  | Prov. Liège | 9.7 | 8.8 | 9.8 |
|  | Prov. Limburg (BE) | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
|  | Prov. Luxembourg (BE) | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
|  | Prov. Namur | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
|  | Prov. Oost-Vlaanderen | 13.2 | 13.1 | 13.3 |
|  | Prov. Vlaams-Brabant | 9.9 | 9.8 | 10.0 |
|  | Prov. West-Vlaanderen | 10.3 | 10.7 | 10.4 |
|  | Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.5 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Bulgaria

| Bulgaria |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 251,883 | 1983 | 1974 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.7 | 52.5 | 49.3 |
|  | Male | 49.3 | 47.3 | 50.2 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 10.5 | 13.1 | 10.8 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.6 | 34.0 | 25.5 |
|  | 40-54 | 29.2 | 35.4 | 29.8 |
|  | 55-74 | 34.8 | 17.3 | 33.5 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 22.3 | 2.6 | 17.2 |
|  | Medium | 53.0 | 39.2 | 56.4 |
|  | High | 24.7 | 58.1 | 26.4 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 35.2 | 9.9 | 10.4 |
|  | Two people | 26.2 | 28.6 | 31.3 |
|  | Three or more people | 38.4 | 61.5 | 58.3 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 27.5 | 52.8 | 47.1 |
|  | No | 72.5 | 47.2 | 52.9 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 46.8 | 52.2 | 48.5 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 23.7 | 41.1 | 42.4 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 29.5 | 6.7 | 9.0 |


| Bulgaria |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Severen tsentralen | 11.2 | 13.9 | 12.0 |
|  | Severoiztochen | 13.4 | 16.2 | 12.2 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Severozapaden | 10.3 | 12.9 | 10.7 |
|  | Yugoiztochen | 14.5 | 13.8 | 14.6 |
|  | Yugozapaden | 30.5 | 27.5 | 31.2 |
|  | Yuzhen tsentralen | 20.2 | 15.7 | 19.3 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Czechia

| Czechia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 3000 | 2994 | 2994 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.9 | 47.9 | 49.8 |
|  | Male | 50.1 | 52.0 | 50.0 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 10.7 |
|  | 25-39 | 26.6 | 28.0 | 26.6 |
|  | 40-54 | 30.5 | 28.3 | 30.5 |
|  | 55-74 | 32.2 | 34.0 | 32.2 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 12.5 | 8.7 | 12.5 |
|  | Medium | 67.0 | 69.4 | 67.0 |
|  | High | 20.6 | 21.9 | 20.5 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 29.5 | 16.6 | 16.4 |
|  | Two people | 32.2 | 34.1 | 33.2 |
|  | Three or more people | 38.3 | 49.3 | 50.5 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 26.6 | 45.6 | 46.8 |
|  | No | 73.4 | 54.4 | 53.2 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 30.3 | 32.8 | 32.0 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 33.6 | 35.0 | 35.4 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 36.1 | 32.2 | 32.6 |


| Czechia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jihovýchod | 15.8 | 15.5 | 15.8 |
|  | Jihozápad | 11.6 | 11.5 | 11.6 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Moravskoslezsko | 11.3 | 12.0 | 11.3 |
|  | Praha | 12.4 | 13.2 | 12.4 |
|  | Severovýchod | 14.2 | 13.3 | 14.2 |
|  | Severozápad | 10.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Denmark

| Denmark |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 2000 | 1989 | 1993 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.8 | 48.8 | 49.6 |
|  | Male | 50.2 | 50.8 | 50.2 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 15.1 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 27.3 |
|  | 55-74 | 32.1 | 32.5 | 32.2 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 26.5 | 14.0 | 12.4 |
|  | Medium | 40.7 | 42.1 | 67.1 |
|  | High | 32.8 | 43.9 | 20.5 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 44.7 | 26.6 | 26.2 |
|  | Two people | 31.6 | 35.5 | 35.9 |
|  | Three or more people | 23.7 | 37.9 | 37.9 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 27.5 | 39.4 | 39.4 |
|  | No | 72.5 | 60.6 | 60.6 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 34.2 | 37.7 | 37.1 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 27.8 | 21.5 | 20.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 38.0 | 40.8 | 42.2 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Hovedstaden | 32.1 | 31.5 | 32.1 |
|  | Midtjylland | 22.8 | 23.1 | 22.8 |
|  | Nordjylland | 10.1 | 10.0 | 10.1 |
|  | Sjælland | 14.2 | 14.3 | 14.0 |
|  | Syddanmark | 20.8 | 21.1 | 20.9 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Germany

| Germany |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 4000 | 3995 | 3996 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.7 | 49.7 | 49.4 |
|  | Male | 50.3 | 49.9 | 50.3 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 12.7 | 7.9 | 12.7 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 25.8 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.5 | 28.3 | 27.5 |
|  | 55-74 | 34.0 | 37.1 | 34.0 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.1 |  |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 19.7 | 43.2 | 19.7 |
|  | Medium | 53.2 | 21.8 | 53.2 |
|  | High | 27.1 | 35.0 | 27.1 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 40.6 | 28.0 | 26.4 |
|  | Two people | 34.0 | 35.2 | 34.7 |
|  | Three or more people | 27.1 | 36.8 | 38.9 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 20.4 | 36.4 | 39.0 |
|  | No | 79.6 | 63.6 | 61.0 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 39.0 | 44.1 | 44.2 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 40.6 | 37.8 | 38.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 20.4 | 18.0 | 17.1 |
| Region (NUTS-1) (\%) | Baden-Württemberg | 13.4 | 10.9 | 13.4 |
|  | Bayern | 15.9 | 15.6 | 15.8 |
|  | Berlin | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
|  | Brandenburg | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | Bremen | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
|  | Hamburg | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.3 |
|  | Hessen | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
|  | Mecklenburg-Vorpommern | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Region (NUTS-1) (\%) | Niedersachsen | 9.6 | 10.0 | 9.6 |
|  | Nordrhein-Westfalen | 21.6 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
|  | Rheinland-Pfalz | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.0 |
|  | Saarland | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
|  | Sachsen | 4.7 | 5.2 | 4.7 |
|  | Sachsen-Anhalt | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.6 |
|  | Schleswig-Holstein | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
|  | Thüringen | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Estonia

| Estonia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 1000 | 1035 | 1035 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 51.2 | 60.2 | 51.0 |
|  | Male | 48.8 | 39.2 | 48.8 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.6 | 15.1 | 11.3 |
|  | 25-39 | 28.6 | 35.8 | 28.7 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.5 | 30.3 | 27.6 |
|  | 55-74 | 32.3 | 18.5 | 32.1 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 17.2 | 7.7 | 17.0 |
|  | Medium | 47.9 | 45.8 | 48.0 |
|  | High | 34.9 | 46.5 | 35.0 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 42.5 | 19.2 | 21.6 |
|  | Two people | 28.0 | 32.2 | 33.7 |
|  | Three or more people | 29.5 | 48.6 | 44.8 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 26.1 | 49.8 | 43.4 |
|  | No | 73.9 | 50.2 | 56.6 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 44.4 | 45.2 | 44.1 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 19.5 | 22.5 | 23.6 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 36.1 | 32.3 | 32.3 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Kesk-Eesti | 9.2 | 10.5 | 9.3 |
|  | Kirde-Eesti | 10.3 | 4.3 | 10.4 |
|  | Lääne-Eesti | 11.2 | 11.3 | 10.9 |
|  | Lõuna-Eesti | 23.5 | 26.8 | 23.6 |
|  | Põhja-Eesti | 45.9 | 47.1 | 45.8 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Ireland

| Ireland | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | N | 1000 | 994 | 993 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.5 | 52.6 | 50.2 |
|  | Male | 49.5 | 47.0 | 49.5 |


| Ireland |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 15.5 |
|  | 25-39 | 28.0 | 29.3 | 27.9 |
|  | 40-54 | 29.5 | 30.3 | 29.7 |
|  | 55-74 | 26.8 | 24.3 | 26.9 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 22.6 | 10.5 | 22.3 |
|  | Medium | 36.7 | 31.8 | 36.8 |
|  | High | 40.8 | 57.7 | 40.9 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 27.6 | 11.6 | 11.5 |
|  | Two people | 28.9 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
|  | Three or more people | 43.5 | 63.0 | 63.1 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 34.2 | 54.6 | 54.3 |
|  | No | 65.8 | 45.4 | 45.7 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 35.5 | 29.4 | 28.3 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 22.2 | 42.1 | 41.2 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 42.4 | 28.5 | 30.5 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Border | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
|  | Dublin | 29.2 | 32.1 | 29.3 |
|  | Mid-East | 14.5 | 11.8 | 14.6 |
|  | Mid-West | 9.8 | 10.8 | 9.7 |
|  | Midland | 6.0 | 6.6 | 6.0 |
|  | South-East (IE) | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
|  | South-West (IE) | 14.3 | 13.2 | 14.4 |
|  | West | 9.4 | 8.8 | 9.1 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Greece

| Greece | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ |  | 3000 | 2973 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 51.0 | 48.1 | 2971 |
|  | Male | 49.0 | 51.6 | 40.5 |
| Age (\%) | Identifies in another way |  | 0.3 | 49.1 |
|  | $16-24$ | $25-39$ | 12.7 | 14.8 |


|  | Greece | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 29.1 | 24.1 | 29.3 |
|  | Medium | 44.1 | 27.9 | 43.9 |
|  | High | 26.8 | 48.0 | 26.9 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 25.7 | 12.8 | 11.7 |
|  | Two people | 29.5 | 24.6 | 26.9 |
|  | Three or more people | 44.9 | 62.6 | 61.4 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 23.4 | 54.3 | 51.3 |
|  | No | 76.6 | 45.7 | 48.7 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 39.5 | 50.1 | 45.8 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 33.8 | 36.3 | 36.6 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 26.7 | 13.6 | 17.7 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Anatoliki Makedonia, Thraki | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
|  | Attiki | 35.4 | 42.3 | 35.5 |
|  | Dytiki Ellada | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.0 |
|  | Dytiki Makedonia | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
|  | Ionia Nisia | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 |
|  | Ipeiros | 3.0 | 3.4 | 3.0 |
|  | Kentriki Makedonia | 17.4 | 19.9 | 17.3 |
|  | Kriti | 5.9 | 4.5 | 5.9 |
|  | Notio Aigaio | 3.3 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
|  | Peloponnisos | 5.3 | 3.7 | 5.3 |
|  | Sterea Ellada | 5.1 | 3.2 | 5.1 |
|  | Thessalia | 6.6 | 5.1 | 6.6 |
|  | Voreio Aigaio | 2.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Spain

| Spain | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ |  | 4000 | 4074 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.3 | 4069 |  |
|  | Male | 49.7 | 50.3 | 49.6 |
| Age (\%) | Identifies in another way |  | 49.3 | 49.9 |
|  | $16-24$ | $25-39$ | 12.0 | 0.4 |


|  | Spain | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 42.0 | 18.6 | 42.0 |
|  | Medium | 24.1 | 28.2 | 24.1 |
|  | High | 33.8 | 53.2 | 34.0 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 53.8 | 9.4 | 9.0 |
|  | Two people | 33.4 | 29.0 | 29.5 |
|  | Three or more people | 12.8 | 61.6 | 61.5 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 28.1 | 48.8 | 48.0 |
|  | No | 71.9 | 51.2 | 52.0 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 26.1 | 61.2 | 58.7 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 30.3 | 22.2 | 22.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 43.6 | 16.7 | 18.6 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Andalucía | 18.0 | 18.4 | 17.9 |
|  | Aragón | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Canarias (ES) | 5.0 | 4.2 | 4.9 |
|  | Cantabria | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
|  | Castilla y León | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 |
|  | Castilla-la Mancha | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
|  | Cataluña | 16.0 | 16.4 | 16.0 |
|  | Ciudad Autónoma de Ceuta (ES) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
|  | Ciudad Autónoma de Melilla (ES) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  | Comunidad de Madrid | 14.3 | 14.9 | 14.3 |
|  | Comunidad Foral de Navarra | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
|  | Comunidad Valenciana | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.6 |
|  | Extremadura | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Galicia | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
|  | Illes Balears | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
|  | La Rioja | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
|  | País Vasco | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
|  | Principado de Asturias | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
|  | Región de Murcia | 3.2 | 2.9 | 3.2 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, France

| France | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 4000 | 3994 | 399 |


|  | France | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 51.1 | 54.7 | 50.8 |
|  | Male | 48.9 | 45.1 | 48.8 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 14.7 | 8.9 | 14.7 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.1 | 26.1 | 25.1 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.1 | 29.0 | 27.1 |
|  | 55-74 | 33.1 | 35.8 | 32.8 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.2 | . 3 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 24.8 | 7.6 | 24.8 |
|  | Medium | 41.9 | 39.2 | 41.9 |
|  | High | 33.3 | 53.2 | 33.3 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 34.2 | 20.7 | 19.8 |
|  | Two people | 33.4 | 35.3 | 34.3 |
|  | Three or more people | 32.3 | 44.0 | 45.9 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 27.4 | 44.9 | 46.6 |
|  | No | 72.6 | 55.1 | 53.4 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 44.8 | 40.9 | 39.9 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 20.0 | 29.4 | 29.9 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 35.2 | 29.7 | 30.2 |
| Region (NUTS-1) (\%) | Auvergne - Rhône-Alpes | 12.3 | 12.3 | 12.2 |
|  | Bourgogne - Franche-Comté | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.3 |
|  | Bretagne | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.1 |
|  | Centre - Val de Loire | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
|  | Corse | 0.5 | 0.1 | . 5 |
|  | Grand-Est | 8.6 | 9.1 | 8.6 |
|  | Hauts-de-France | 9.2 | 10.1 | 9.2 |
|  | Île de France | 19.1 | 18.5 | 19.1 |
|  | Normandie | 5.1 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
|  | Nouvelle-Aquitaine | 9.2 | 7.9 | 9.2 |
|  | Occitanie | 9.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 |
|  | Pays-de-la-Loire | 5.8 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
|  | Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Croatia

| Croatia | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 1000 | 995 | 990 |


|  | Croatia | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.4 | 49.6 | 56.6 |
|  | Male | 49.6 | 50.3 | 43.3 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 11.2 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.4 | 26.8 | 26.2 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.0 | 25.4 | 28.3 |
|  | 55-74 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.3 |
|  | $75+$ |  | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 20.0 | 0.9 | 7.3 |
|  | Medium | 58.4 | 48.9 | 67.7 |
|  | High | 21.6 | 50.2 | 25.0 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 24.2 | 8.9 | 8.0 |
|  | Two people | 26.8 | 30.2 | 31.7 |
|  | Three or more people | 49.0 | 60.9 | 60.3 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 27.1 | 45.9 | 47.0 |
|  | No | 72.9 | 54.1 | 53.0 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 31.0 | 36.8 | 31.8 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 29.8 | 36.9 | 38.1 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 39.3 | 26.3 | 30.1 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Bjelovarsko-bilogorska županija | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
|  | Brodsko-posavska županija | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.8 |
|  | Dubrovačko-neretvanska županija | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
|  | Grad Zagreb | 19.9 | 20.3 | 16.6 |
|  | Istarska županija | 5.2 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
|  | Karlovačka županija | 2.8 | 2.9 | 3.2 |
|  | Koprivničko-križevačka županija | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
|  | Krapinsko-zagorska županija | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
|  | Ličko-senjska županija | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
|  | Međimurska županija | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.1 |
|  | Osječko-baranjska županija | 6.8 | 6.7 | 4.3 |
|  | Požeško-slavonska županija | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
|  | Primorsko-goranska županija | 7.0 | 7.1 | 8.1 |


|  | Croatia | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Šibensko-kninska županija | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.8 |
|  | Sisačko-moslavačka županija | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
|  | Splitsko-dalmatinska županija | 11.1 | 11.2 | 9.9 |
|  | Varaždinska županija | 4.1 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
|  | Virovitičko-podravska županija | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
|  | Vukovarsko-srijemska županija | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
|  | Zadarska županija | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.7 |
|  | Zagrebačka županija | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.8 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Italy

|  | Italy | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 4000 | 3981 | 3976 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.4 | 51.4 | 50.7 |
|  | Male | 49.6 | 48.4 | 49.0 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.9 | 10.9 | 11.9 |
|  | 25-39 | 22.5 | 22.9 | 22.5 |
|  | 40-54 | 31.2 | 31.8 | 31.3 |
|  | 55-74 | 34.4 | 34.4 | 34.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 42.6 | 10.3 | 42.4 |
|  | Medium | 40.5 | 54.2 | 40.7 |
|  | High | 16.8 | 35.5 | 16.9 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 33.0 | 10.9 | 11.0 |
|  | Two people | 28.3 | 26.9 | 26.3 |
|  | Three or more people | 38.7 | 62.3 | 62.7 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 23.8 | 47.8 | 46.3 |
|  | No | 76.2 | 52.2 | 53.7 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 32.7 | 45.3 | 41.3 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 43.3 | 43.5 | 46.3 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 24.0 | 11.2 | 12.4 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Abruzzo | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Basilicata | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
|  | Calabria | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
|  | Campania | 9.8 | 10.0 | 9.9 |


|  | Italy | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Emilia-Romagna | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.5 |
|  | Friuli-Venezia Giulia | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
|  | Lazio | 9.7 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
|  | Liguria | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
|  | Lombardia | 16.7 | 16.8 | 16.8 |
|  | Marche | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
|  | Molise | 0.5 | 0.5 | . 5 |
|  | Piemonte | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
|  | Puglia | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
|  | Sardegna | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Sicilia | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
|  | Toscana | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
|  | Trentino Alto Adige | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
|  | Umbria | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|  | Valle d'Aosta/Vallée d'Aoste | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
|  | Veneto | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.2 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Cyprus

| Cyprus |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 500 | 497 | 495 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 51.1 | 58.0 | 51.0 |
|  | Male | 48.9 | 41.6 | 48.7 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 15.4 | 18.3 | 15.6 |
|  | 25-39 | 32.4 | 45.5 | 32.7 |
|  | 40-54 | 24.4 | 28.0 | 24.3 |
|  | 55-74 | 27.8 | 8.2 | 27.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 24.1 | 23.3 | 24.2 |
|  | Medium | 37.9 | 35.2 | 38.1 |
|  | High | 38.0 | 41.4 | 37.7 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 20.8 | 11.5 | 12.9 |
|  | Two people | 35.4 | 22.3 | 23.1 |
|  | Three or more people | 43.8 | 66.2 | 63.9 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 30.8 | 55.5 | 49.9 |
|  | No | 69.2 | 44.5 | 50.1 |


| Cyprus |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Densely populated area | 58.7 | 45.1 | 47.2 |
| Degree of <br> urbanisation (\%) | Intermediate density area | 20.6 | 46.5 | 45.8 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 20.7 | 8.4 | 7.0 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Kypros | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Latvia

|  | Latvia | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 1000 | 1036 | 1036 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 52.5 | 52.4 | 52.3 |
|  | Male | 47.5 | 47.3 | 47.4 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.2 | 14.4 | 11.2 |
|  | 25-39 | 27.5 | 34.2 | 27.6 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.5 | 31.8 | 27.2 |
|  | 55-74 | 33.8 | 19.4 | 33.5 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 14.2 | 12.4 | 14.1 |
|  | Medium | 53.3 | 53.5 | 53.2 |
|  | High | 32.5 | 34.2 | 32.7 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 34.6 | 14.2 | 15.4 |
|  | Two people | 30.4 | 32.5 | 35.0 |
|  | Three or more people | 35.1 | 53.3 | 49.6 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 26.9 | 46.7 | 40.3 |
|  | No | 73.1 | 53.3 | 59.7 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 43.7 | 45.0 | 43.6 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 22.8 | 27.4 | 28.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 33.5 | 27.5 | 27.7 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Kurzeme | 12.4 | 13.6 | 12.5 |
|  | Latgale | 13.7 | 10.1 | 13.5 |
|  | Pierīga | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 |
|  | Rīga | 33.1 | 34.0 | 33.2 |
|  | Vidzeme | 9.6 | 10.4 | 9.7 |
|  | Zemgale | 12.0 | 12.5 | 11.9 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Lithuania

| Lithuania |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 1000 | 1023 | 1023 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 52.0 | 52.7 | 51.8 |
|  | Male | 48.0 | 47.1 | 48.0 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 12.6 | 12.3 | 12.4 |
|  | 25-39 | 26.3 | 27.5 | 26.1 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.6 | 27.9 | 27.8 |
|  | 55-74 | 33.6 | 31.8 | 33.3 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 10.4 | 12.3 | 10.3 |
|  | Medium | 52.6 | 26.6 | 52.5 |
|  | High | 37.0 | 61.1 | 37.1 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 38.0 | 12.9 | 13.5 |
|  | Two people | 28.7 | 39.9 | 41.1 |
|  | Three or more people | 33.2 | 47.2 | 45.4 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 24.0 | 44.0 | 42.8 |
|  | No | 76.0 | 56.0 | 57.2 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 44.1 | 54.8 | 52.0 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 14.3 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 41.6 | 33.5 | 36.3 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Alytaus apskritis | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  | Kauno apskritis | 20.1 | 20.3 | 20.1 |
|  | Klaipedos apskritis | 11.4 | 11.0 | 11.2 |
|  | Marijampolès apskritis | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
|  | Panevěžio apskritis | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.5 |
|  | Šiaulių apskritis | 9.4 | 9.2 | 9.5 |
|  | Tauragės apskritis | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
|  | Telšiu apskritis | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
|  | Utenos apskritis | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
|  | Vilniaus apskritis | 29.5 | 29.9 | 29.4 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Luxembourg

| Luxembourg |  | Representative quota* | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 500 | 498 | 499 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.9 | 49.4 | 49.8 |
|  | Male | 50.1 | 50.4 | 50.0 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 12.6 | 8.6 | 12.6 |
|  | 25-39 | 28.1 | 32.3 | 28.0 |
|  | 40-54 | 27.0 | 36.5 | 27.0 |
|  | 55+ | 32.3 | 22.5 | 32.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 28.2 | 12.0 | 28.3 |
|  | Medium | 32.1 | 39.4 | 31.9 |
|  | High | 39.7 | 48.6 | 39.8 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 35.6 | 27.7 | 25.1 |
|  | Two people | 28.9 | 38.0 | 39.7 |
|  | Three or more people | 35.5 | 34.3 | 35.2 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 26.4 | 33.1 | 36.2 |
|  | No | 73.6 | 66.9 | 63.8 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 19.1 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 41.8 | 86.3 | 85.1 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 39.1 | 6.9 | 7.0 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Capellen | 8.0 | 5.6 | 8.0 |
|  | Clervaux | 3.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 |
|  | Diekirch | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.4 |
|  | Echternach | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
|  | Esch-sur-Alzette | 29.3 | 24.9 | 29.4 |
|  | Grevenmacher | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
|  | Luxembourg | 30.5 | 36.7 | 30.5 |
|  | Mersch | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.3 |
|  | Redange | 3.1 | 4.6 | 3.1 |
|  | Remich | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
|  | Vianden | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
|  | Wiltz | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 |

* No quotas were applied in Luxembourg, as random sampling was used in CATIs. Any discrepancies between the net sample and representative figures were adjusted with weighting afterwards (see Section 1.3.2).

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Hungary

| Hungary |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | 3000 | 2984 | 2983 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 51.1 | 52.7 | 51.0 |
|  | Male | 48.9 | 47.2 | 48.9 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 12.4 |
|  | 25-39 | 25.6 | 28.9 | 25.5 |
|  | 40-54 | 30.3 | 32.7 | 30.4 |
|  | 55-74 | 31.6 | 24.6 | 31.3 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 20.2 | 6.7 | 20.1 |
|  | Medium | 56.7 | 61.4 | 56.8 |
|  | High | 23.1 | 31.9 | 23.1 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 31.7 | 13.5 | 13.8 |
|  | Two people | 32.4 | 32.6 | 33.6 |
|  | Three or more people | 35.9 | 53.9 | 52.6 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 25.4 | 46.6 | 44.4 |
|  | No | 74.6 | 53.4 | 55.6 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 31.6 | 38.9 | 36.6 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 36.9 | 39.2 | 39.3 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 31.5 | 21.9 | 24.2 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Budapest | 18.0 | 18.5 | 18.0 |
|  | Dél-Alföld | 12.6 | 12.8 | 12.6 |
|  | Dél-Dunántúl | 9.0 | 8.7 | 9.1 |
|  | Észak-Alföld | 14.8 | 15.1 | 14.8 |
|  | Észak-Magyarország | 11.3 | 11.8 | 11.3 |
|  | Közép-Dunántúl | 10.9 | 11.0 | 10.9 |
|  | Nyugat-Dunántúl | 10.3 | 10.0 | 10.3 |
|  | Pest | 13.1 | 12.1 | 13.1 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Malta

| Malta | Representative quota* | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ |  | 500 | 495 |


|  | Malta | Representative quota* | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.5 | 3.6 | 11.6 |
|  | 25-39 | 30.6 | 21.2 | 30.8 |
|  | 40-54 | 22.3 | 26.5 | 22.2 |
|  | 55+ | 35.6 | 48.7 | 35.4 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 42.9 | 50.9 | 42.6 |
|  | Medium | 31.6 | 15.6 | 31.8 |
|  | High | 25.5 | 33.5 | 25.6 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 27.8 | 14.5 | 12.0 |
|  | Two people | 32.0 | 31.5 | 27.4 |
|  | Three or more people | 40.2 | 53.9 | 60.5 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 27.7 | 40.0 | 47.1 |
|  | No | 72.3 | 60.0 | 52.9 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 19.1 | 51.4 | 50.5 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 41.8 | 42.8 | 43.4 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 39.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| District (NUTS-3) (\%) | Gozo and Comino District | 6.7 | 3.2 | 6.7 |
|  | Northern District | 16.9 | 14.9 | 17.0 |
|  | Northern Harbour District | 33.3 | 30.1 | 32.9 |
|  | South Eastern District | 14.5 | 17.4 | 14.5 |
|  | Southern Harbour District | 16.5 | 18.4 | 16.5 |
|  | Western District | 12.2 | 16.0 | 12.3 |

* No quotas were applied in Malta, as random sampling was used in CATIs. Any discrepancies between the net sample and representative figures were adjusted with weighting afterwards (see Section 1.3.2).

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, the Netherlands

| The Netherlands |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G | N | 3000 | 2984 | 2983 |  |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.9 | 50.3 | 49.5 |  |
|  | Male | 50.1 | 49.4 | 50.1 |  |
| Age (\%) | Identifies in another way |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |
|  | $16-24$ | $25-39$ | 24.9 | 14.0 | 14.6 |
|  | $40-54$ | 25.2 | 25.4 | 25.3 |  |


| The Netherlands |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 26.8 | 16.8 | 26.8 |
|  | Medium | 38.4 | 41.4 | 38.3 |
|  | High | 34.8 | 41.8 | 34.9 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 38.5 | 23.1 | 23.6 |
|  | Two people | 32.6 | 38.1 | 38.0 |
|  | Three or more people | 28.7 | 38.8 | 38.4 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 23.4 | 36.7 | 36.2 |
|  | No | 76.6 | 63.3 | 63.8 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 57.0 | 56.4 | 56.0 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 32.8 | 35.2 | 35.5 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 10.2 | 8.4 | 8.5 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Drenthe | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
|  | Flevoland | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
|  | Friesland (NL) | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Gelderland | 11.9 | 12.0 | 11.9 |
|  | Groningen | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
|  | Limburg (NL) | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.5 |
|  | Noord-Brabant | 14.8 | 14.3 | 14.9 |
|  | Noord-Holland | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.6 |
|  | Overijssel | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
|  | Utrecht | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
|  | Zeeland | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Zuid-Holland | 21.5 | 21.6 | 21.6 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Austria

| Austria |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 2000 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.1 | 49.0 | 49.7 |
|  | Male | 49.9 | 50.5 | 49.9 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 13.0 | 14.5 | 12.8 |
|  | 25-39 | 27.2 | 30.0 | 27.1 |
|  | 40-54 | 28.4 | 22.2 | 28.5 |
|  | 55-74 | 31.3 | 32.1 | 30.4 |
|  | 75+ |  | 1.3 | 1.1 |


| Austria |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 19.7 | 12.4 | 19.5 |
|  | Medium | 50.5 | 68.2 | 50.6 |
|  | High | 29.8 | 19.4 | 29.8 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 37.7 | 22.4 | 21.8 |
|  | Two people | 30.3 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
|  | Three or more people | 31.9 | 40.6 | 41.3 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 22.6 | 38.5 | 39.3 |
|  | No | 77.4 | 61.5 | 60.7 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 31.6 | 43.2 | 44.2 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 30.1 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 38.3 | 28.8 | 28.3 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Burgenland (AT) | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 |
|  | Kärnten | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 |
|  | Niederösterreich | 18.7 | 18.8 | 18.6 |
|  | Oberösterreich | 16.6 | 16.4 | 16.6 |
|  | Salzburg | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
|  | Steiermark | 14.0 | 14.2 | 14.1 |
|  | Tirol | 8.5 | 8.3 | 8.5 |
|  | Vorarlberg | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.4 |
|  | Wien | 21.8 | 22.1 | 21.8 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Poland

| Poland |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 4000 | 3983 | 3980 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.9 | 51.0 | 50.9 |
|  | Male | 49.1 | 48.9 | 49.0 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 11.9 |
|  | 25-39 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 29.3 |
|  | 40-54 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.6 |
|  | 55-74 | 32.0 | 31.7 | 31.7 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 13.9 | 6.7 | 13.7 |
|  | Medium | 59.3 | 52.0 | 59.5 |
|  | High | 26.7 | 41.3 | 26.8 |


|  | Poland | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 24.3 | 9.4 | 9.2 |
|  | Two people | 26.3 | 30.9 | 30.8 |
|  | Three or more people | 49.3 | 59.7 | 60.1 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 30.2 | 52.9 | 52.6 |
|  | No | 69.8 | 47.1 | 47.4 |
| Degree of Urbanization (\%) | Densely populated area | 34.4 | 51.7 | 48.8 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 29.0 | 25.1 | 25.6 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 36.6 | 23.1 | 25.6 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Dolnośląskie | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.6 |
|  | Kujawsko-pomorskie | 5.5 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
|  | Łódzkie | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
|  | Lubelskie | 5.5 | 2.9 | 5.5 |
|  | Lubuskie | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
|  | Małopolskie | 8.8 | 8.6 | 8.8 |
|  | Mazowiecki regionalny i Warszawski stoleczny | 14.0 | 15.2 | 14.0 |
|  | Opolskie | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
|  | Podkarpackie | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | Podlaskie | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
|  | Pomorskie | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
|  | Śląskie | 11.8 | 12.6 | 11.8 |
|  | Świętokrzyskie | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 |
|  | Warmińsko-mazurskie | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
|  | Wielkopolskie | 9.2 | 8.9 | 9.2 |
|  | Zachodniopomorskie | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.4 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Portugal

| Portugal |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  | 3000 | 2986 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 52.3 | 52.1 | 2982 |
|  | Male | 47.7 | 47.7 | 52.3 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 47.6 |
| Age (\%) | $16-24$ | 12.9 | 13.2 | 0.1 |
|  | $25-39$ | 23.2 | 24.2 | 12.8 |
|  | $40-54$ | 30.2 | 30.9 | 23.1 |
|  | $55-74$ | 33.7 | 31.7 | 30.2 |


| Portugal |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 49.9 | 12.6 | 49.8 |
|  | Medium | 26.8 | 41.2 | 26.8 |
|  | High | 23.3 | 46.2 | 23.4 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 21.2 | 10.5 | 11.3 |
|  | Two people | 33.6 | 28.0 | 29.8 |
|  | Three or more people | 45.3 | 61.5 | 58.9 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 28.8 | 54.5 | 51.7 |
|  | No | 71.2 | 45.5 | 48.3 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 44.8 | 54.8 | 52.4 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 31.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 23.4 | 14.1 | 16.6 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Alentejo | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.7 |
|  | Algarve | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.2 |
|  | Área Metropolitana de Lisboa | 27.0 | 28.2 | 26.9 |
|  | Centro (PT) | 21.4 | 22.1 | 21.5 |
|  | Norte | 35.6 | 35.6 | 35.6 |
|  | Região Autónoma da Madeira (PT) | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
|  | Região Autónoma dos Açores <br> (PT) | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.4 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Romania

| Romania |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 3000 | 2982 | 2977.6 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.3 | 50.2 | 49.2 |
|  | Male | 50.7 | 49.7 | 50.7 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.4 |
|  | 25-39 | 29.5 | 30.3 | 29.4 |
|  | 40-54 | 35.5 | 34.0 | 35.5 |
|  | 55-65 | 20.6 | 21.2 | 20.7 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 23.7 | 4.8 | 23.7 |
|  | Medium | 60.1 | 35.7 | 60.1 |
|  | High | 16.2 | 59.5 | 16.3 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 30.5 | 7.9 | 7.3 |
|  | Two people | 26.5 | 30.5 | 28.9 |
|  | Three or more people | 42.9 | 61.6 | 63.8 |


| Romania |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Household with <br> children (\%) | Yes | 30.3 | 53.5 | 54.3 |
|  | No | 69.7 | 46.5 | 45.7 |
| Degree of <br> urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 33.8 | 64.9 | 57.8 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 27.5 | 22.5 | 23.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 38.8 | 12.6 | 18.5 |
| Bucureşti-IIfov | 12.3 | 13.1 | 12.2 |  |
| Centru | Nord-Est | 11.9 | 12.1 | 11.8 |
|  | Nord-Vest | 15.9 | 16.0 | 15.9 |
| Sud-Est | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.4 |  |
|  | Sud-Muntenia | 12.3 | 13.9 | 15.0 |
| Sud-Vest Oltenia | 15.0 | 12.5 | 12.3 |  |
|  | Vest | 10.0 | 9.5 | 10.0 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Slovenia

| Slovenia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 1000 | 996 | 997 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 48.5 | 48.6 | 48.5 |
|  | Male | 51.5 | 51.3 | 51.5 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 0.114 | 11.3 | 11.4 |
|  | 25-39 | 0.257 | 25.7 | 25.7 |
|  | 40-54 | 0.294 | 29.4 | 29.5 |
|  | 55-74 | 0.335 | 33.4 | 33.4 |
|  | $75+$ |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 0.163 | 2.4 | 16.3 |
|  | Medium | 0.542 | 52.0 | 54.2 |
|  | High | 0.295 | 45.6 | 29.5 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 0.287 | 12.8 | 13.4 |
|  | Two people | 0.29 | 29.2 | 29.9 |
|  | Three or more people | 0.423 | 58.0 | 56.7 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 0.245 | 48.1 | 47.5 |
|  | No | 0.755 | 51.9 | 52.5 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 0.191 | 21.6 | 19.3 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 0.352 | 34.0 | 36.0 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 0.457 | 44.4 | 44.7 |


| Slovenia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gorenjska | 0.097 | 9.9 | 9.7 |
|  | Goriška | 0.056 | 5.1 | 5.6 |
|  | Jugovzhodna Slovenija | 0.069 | 6.2 | 6.9 |
|  | Koroška | 0.034 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| Region (NUTS-3) (\%) | Obalno-kraška | 0.056 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
|  | Osrednjeslovenska | 0.264 | 26.7 | 26.4 |
|  | Podravska | 0.157 | 16.1 | 15.6 |
| Pomurska | 0.055 | 5.6 | 5.5 |  |
|  | Posavska | 0.036 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
|  | Primorsko-notranjska | 0.025 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Savinjska | 0.124 | 12.8 | 12.5 |  |
|  | Zasavska | 0.027 | 2.6 | 2.6 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Slovakia

| Slovakia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 1000 | 997 | 998 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 50.5 | 51.0 | 50.4 |
|  | Male | 49.5 | 49.0 | 49.6 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 11.9 |
|  | 25-39 | 29.0 | 29.9 | 29.0 |
|  | 40-54 | 28.6 | 27.7 | 28.5 |
|  | 55-74 | 30.5 | 31.0 | 30.6 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 13.7 | 4.1 | 13.6 |
|  | Medium | 63.6 | 64.5 | 63.7 |
|  | High | 22.6 | 31.4 | 22.7 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 16.4 | 12.1 | 10.9 |
|  | Two people | 29.6 | 31.6 | 29.0 |
|  | Three or more people | 54.1 | 56.3 | 60.1 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 29.6 | 48.5 | 49.5 |
|  | No | 70.4 | 51.5 | 50.5 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 19.9 | 21.4 | 19.5 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 38.3 | 41.7 | 42.0 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 41.8 | 36.9 | 38.6 |


| Slovakia |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bratislava Region | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
|  | Central Slovakia (Stredné <br> Slovensko) | 24.7 | 37.9 | 24.7 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Eastern Slovakia (Východné <br> Slovensko) | 29.3 | 15.0 | 29.3 |
| Western Slovakia (Západné | 34.0 | 34.7 | 34.0 |  |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Finland

| Finland |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 2000 | 1985 | 1985 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.7 | 51.6 | 49.2 |
|  | Male | 50.3 | 47.8 | 50.2 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 13.3 |
|  | 25-39 | 26.2 | 26.9 | 26.2 |
|  | 40-54 | 25.0 | 23.5 | 25.1 |
|  | 55-74 | 35.3 | 35.6 | 35.4 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 18.0 | 11.7 | 18.0 |
|  | Medium | 43.4 | 46.6 | 43.4 |
|  | High | 38.6 | 41.7 | 38.6 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 45.4 | 32.7 | 32.9 |
|  | Two people | 32.8 | 35.9 | 35.6 |
|  | Three or more people | 21.9 | 31.4 | 31.5 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 19.1 | 33.9 | 33.9 |
|  | No | 80.9 | 66.1 | 66.1 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 41.0 | 45.3 | 44.2 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 31.8 | 27.4 | 27.7 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 27.2 | 27.3 | 28.1 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Åland | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
|  | Etelä-Suomi | 20.8 | 19.5 | 20.8 |
|  | Helsinki-Uusimaa | 31.2 | 31.9 | 31.1 |
|  | Länsi-Suomi | 24.7 | 24.8 | 24.7 |
|  | Pohjois- ja Itä-Suomi | 22.8 | 23.8 | 23.0 |

Comparison of quotas, weighted and unweighted samples, Sweden

| Sweden |  | Representative quota | Unweighted sample | Weighted sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N |  | 3000 | 2991 | 2991 |
| Gender (\%) | Female | 49.1 | 49.2 | 48.9 |
|  | Male | 50.9 | 50.6 | 50.9 |
|  | Identifies in another way |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Age (\%) | 16-24 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
|  | 25-39 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 |
|  | 40-54 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 26.7 |
|  | 55-74 | 30.9 | 31.0 | 30.9 |
|  | 75+ |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Education level (\%) | Low | 21.5 | 9.5 | 21.5 |
|  | Medium | 40.9 | 53.0 | 40.9 |
|  | High | 37.6 | 37.5 | 37.6 |
| Household size (\%) | One person | 44.8 | 28.2 | 28.2 |
|  | Two people | 30.1 | 34.9 | 34.3 |
|  | Three or more people | 25.1 | 36.9 | 37.6 |
| Household with children (\%) | Yes | 19.3 | 38.9 | 39.2 |
|  | No | 80.7 | 61.1 | 60.8 |
| Degree of urbanisation (\%) | Densely populated area | 36.8 | 40.9 | 40.3 |
|  | Intermediate density area | 36.1 | 41.3 | 41.5 |
|  | Sparsely populated area | 27.1 | 17.8 | 18.3 |
| Region (NUTS-2) (\%) | Mellersta Norrland | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Norra Mellansverige | 8.2 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
|  | Östra Mellansverige | 16.7 | 16.8 | 16.7 |
|  | Övre Norrland | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.1 |
|  | Småland med öarna | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
|  | Stockholm | 23.4 | 23.7 | 23.4 |
|  | Sydsverige | 14.8 | 14.9 | 14.7 |
|  | Västsverige | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |

## Annex 2. Master questionnaire in English

## Survey on gender gaps in unpaid care, individual and social activities

This survey is commissioned by the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE) - an autonomous institution of the European Union established to strengthen gender equality and to fight discrimination based on sex. The aim of the survey is to collect data to understand different uses of time of European people aged 16+, particularly for unpaid activities. The survey is conducted across all EU Member States. It will take about 15 minutes to answer the survey. The survey is confidential and all collected information is used for non-commercial research activities only. The survey is compliant with the general rules and principles of Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2018/1725.

This survey will contain very general questions about your political activities as well as a few questions about your health. Collecting such information enables us to perform a more refined research analysis.

For these questions, a "Prefer not to answer" option will be available.
Your responses to this survey will only be anonymously reported. The survey data will be retained by Ipsos for a period of 12 months.

Do you agree for us to collect this data and to participate in all the communicated conditions?

1. Yes, I agree

0 . No, I do not agree [Terminate]

## Main questionnaire

## SECTION A - GENERAL INFORMATION

In the beginning, please share with us some details about yourself and your household.
ASK ALL

| QA1.1. In which country were you born? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Country of birth | A top down-menu with <br> a list of countries will <br> be included here |
| Don't know | 888 |
| Prefer not to answer | 999 |

ASK ALL

| QA1.2. How would you describe yourself? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Female | 1 |
| Male | 2 |
| In another way | 3 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK ALL

QA1.3. How old are you?
____ years [numeric]

ASK ALL
NOTE: Question is adapted individually for each country.
Thinking of your current living area, which of the following describes it best? Is it a...

## QA1.5. Where do you live?

(1) Densely populated area
(2) Intermediate density area
(3) Sparsely populated area
(4) Don't know

## ASK ALL

QA2. What is the highest level of education you have successfully completed?

ISCED categories to be entered for each country

NOTE: Answer options are adapted individually for each country, this is an example for Ireland.

| (1) | Left school before finishing primary school; NFQ Level 1 | ISCED 0 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) | Left school after the end of primary school but before reaching the end of junior cycle (Junior <br> Certificate); NFQ level 2 | ISCED 1 | 113 |
| (3) | Junior Certificate; NFQ Level 3 (including Transition Year) | ISCED 2 | 213 |
| (4) | Vocational NFQ Level 3 courses, certified by FETAC, e.g. Community training centres | ISCED 2 | 222 |
| (5) | Vocational NFQ level 4 courses, e.g. FETAC specific skills courses | ISCED 3 | 229 |
| (6) | Leaving Certificate Established (LCE); Leaving Certificate Vocational Programme (LCVP); NFQ levels 4-5 | ISCED 3 | 313 |
| (7) | Leaving Certificate Applied programme (LCA); NFQ levels 4-5 | ISCED 3 | 321 |
| (8) | Apprenticeship - FAS/SOLAS etc. | ISCED 4 | 421 |
| (9) | Post-Leaving Certificate (PLC) courses (duration of 1 year); Teagasc and Failte Ireland Advanced | ISCED 4 | 422 |
| (10) | Postificate; NFQ level 5 | ISCED 4 | 423 |
| (11) | Edexcel/BTEC/BEC/TEC - Higher National Certificate (HNC) or equivalent | ISCED 4 | 423 |
| (12) | Higher Certificate - NFQ level 6 | ISCED 5 | 520 |
| (13) | Diploma, now termed 'Ordinary level bachelor degree' - NFQ level 7 | ISCED 5 | 520 |
| (14) | Higher level/honours bachelor degree; NFQ level 8 | ISCED 6 | 620 |
| (15) | Higher/Graduate Diploma, NFQ level 8 | ISCED 6 | 620 |
| (16) | Post-graduate Diploma; NFQ level 9 | ISCED 7 | 720 |
| (17) | Masters Degree, M.Phil; NFQ level 9 | ISCED 7 | 720 |
| (18) | Ph.D, D.Phil or equivalent; NFQ level 10 | ISCED 8 | 800 |

## Main questionnaire begins

ASK ALL

| QA3. Which of these categories best describes your current situation? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employed | 1 |
| Unemployed | 2 |
| Retired | 3 |
| Unable to work due to long-standing health problems | 3 |
| Student, pupil | 4 |
| Fulfilling domestic tasks | 5 |
| Compulsory military or civilian service | 5 |
| Other | 6 |
| Don't know | 6 |
| Prefer not to answer | 7 |
|  | 8 |

ASK IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED)

| QA4. What is your employment status in your main job? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Self-employed person with employees | 1 |
| Self-employed person without employees | 2 |
| Employee | 3 |
| Family worker (unpaid), helping another family member to run a farm or other business, not considered as employees | 4 |
| Not applicable | 97 |
| Don't know | 98 |

## ASK IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED)

QA5. Thinking of the actual working time you dedicate to all paying jobs, how many hours a week do you work?

98

PROG: DO NOT ALLOW MORE THAN 168 HOURS. PLEASE INCLUDE WARNING MESSAGE IF HOURS GIVEN IS 119 OR HIGHER. ERROR MESSAGE: In answering this question, please consider that 119 hours per week means you are spending less than 7 hours a day in anything other than working time.

## ASK IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED)

| QA6. Thinking about all your paying jobs, how frequently do you work/have you worked from home? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Daily |  |
| Several times a week | 1 |
| Several times a month | 2 |
| Less often | 3 |
| Never | 4 |
| Due to the nature of my work I can't work from home | 5 |
| Don't know | 97 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

ASK ALL

QA7. How many people usually live in your household? Please include yourself.
A household includes either one person living alone or a group of people who are presently residing together.
$\qquad$ number of people

Considering other members of your household, please answer the following questions.

## ASK IF QA7 > 1 (AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE ARE LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD)

| QA8. How many children aged less than 25 are living in your household? |
| :--- |
| Excluding yourself, if it is the case. Please, enter the number of children in each age bracket. |
| PROG: SET ALL FIGURES TO 0 SO THAT RESPONDENTS ONLY HAVE TO CHANGE THE CELL THAT IS REFERRING TO THEM. IF QA8.1. IS |
| NOT SELECTED, QA8.2. - QA8.5. >0 |
| QA8.1. None [exclusive]; [PROG: if selected, immediately go to QA9] |
| QA8.2. $0-5$ years |
| QA8.3. 6 -11 years |
| QA8.4. $12-17$ years |
| QA8.5. 18 - 24 years |
| Prefer not to answer |

ASK IF QA7 > 1 (AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE ARE LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD) AND IF QA7 IS HIGHER THAN NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD IN QA8 PLUS MYSELF (Example: Do not ask respondents with QA7=2 and QA8.2-QA8.5=1.)

| QA9. Do you have a spouse/partner who lives in your household? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QA7 > 1 (AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE ARE LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD) AND IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QA10. How would you describe your spouse/partner? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Female | 1 |
| Male | 2 |
| In another way | 3 |
| Don't know | 3 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

ASK IF QA9 $=1$ (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QA11. How old is your spouse/partner? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Please choose one of the following age brackets. |  |
| 24 years or younger | 1 |
| $25-49$ years | 2 |
| $50-64$ years | 3 |
| 65 or more years | 3 |
| Don't know | 4 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

ASK IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

QA12. What is the highest level of education your spouse/partner has successfully completed?
ISCED categories to be entered for each country

## ASK IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QA13. Which of these categories best describes your spouse/partner's current situation? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employed |  |
| Unemployed |  |
| Retired | 2 |
| Unable to work due to long-standing health problems | 3 |
| Student, pupil | 4 |
| Fulfilling domestic tasks | 4 |
| Compulsory military or civilian service | 5 |
| Other | 6 |
| Don't know | 6 |
| Prefer not to answer | 7 |

ASK IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER) AND IF QA13 = 1 (COHABIT-
ING SPOUSE/PARTNER IS EMPLOYED)

QA14. Thinking of the actual working time your spouse/partner dedicates to all paying jobs, how many hours a week does she/he work?
weekly hours

PROG: DO NOT ALLOW MORE THAN 168 HOURS. PLEASE INCLUDE WARNING MESSAGE IF HOURS GIVEN IS 119 OR HIGHER. ERROR MESSAGE: In answering this question, please consider that 119 hours per week means they are spending less than 7 hours a day in anything other than working time.

## ASK IF QA7 > 1 (AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD)

| QA15. Do any of your parents or grandparents (including in-law) live in your household? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 |
| Don't know | 0 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

The next questions explore your views about attitudes and roles of women and men.
ASK ALL

## Randomize

| QA16. To what extent do you agree or disagree...? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagree | Strongly disagree | Don't know | Prefer not to answer |
| QA16.1. A working mother can establish just as warm and secure a relationship with her children as a mother who does not work. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QA16.2. Boys have the same obligations to help with household chores as girls. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QA16.3. If the child is ill, the father should also go on sick leave. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QA16.4. A family is stronger when fathers not only support the family financially, but also take care of children. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QA16.5. Mothers should make most of the decisions on how to bring up their children. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QA16.6. Household work should be shared by partners equally. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |

## SECTION B - INFORMAL CARE FOR PEOPLE WHO, AS A RESULT OF MENTAL, PHYSICAL FRAILTY, DISABILITY OR OLD AGE, OVER AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME DEPEND ON HELP WITH DAILY LIVING ACTIVITIES OR NEED SOME PERMANENT NURSING CARE

This section focuses on informal care. The following questions refer to unpaid care activities for family members, relatives, neighbours or friends (including children) who have needed others' support as a result of mental, physical frailty, disability or old age for at least 3 months. Informal care concerns daily living activities (e.g. dressing, showering, eating, moving around, using the toilet) and instrumental activities of daily living (e.g. grocery shopping, preparing meals, managing money, and managing housework).

ASK ALL

QB1. Do you provide care for people who, as a result of mental, physical frailty, disability or old age, need help with daily living activities and instrumental activities of daily living?

| Yes | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| No | 0 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

| QB2. How many persons do you provide care for? |
| :--- |
| Please fill in the number of persons you care for based on their living situation. |
| PROG: SET ALL FIGURES TO O SO THAT RESPONDENTS ONLY HAVE TO CHANGE THE CELL THAT IS REFERRING TO THEM. |
| QB2.1. Person(s) living in your household |
| QB2.2. Person(s) living in another household |
| QB2.3. Person(s) living in a care institution (full time or part-time) |
| Prefer not to answer |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

QB3. In a typical week, how often are you involved in caring for all your care recipients in total?
If you do not provide care on a regular basis, please think of a week in which you do provide care.

| Every day | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 4 to 6 days a week | 2 |
| 1 to 3 days a week | 3 |
| Less often | 4 |
| Don't know | 4 |

## ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

Please answer the following questions about one person you provide care for. If you provide care for more than one person, please think about your main care recipient for whom you provide the highest total weekly hours of care.

| QB4.1. What is your main care recipient's relationship to you? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Child (including step-child and adopted child) | 1 |
| Spouse/partner | 2 |
| Parent, parent-in-law, stepparent, grandparent | 3 |
| Other relative/in-law person (e.g. grandchild, cousin, nephew, niece, uncle, aunt, sibling, child-in-law) | 4 |
| Other non-relative (e.g. friend, neighbour) | 5 |
| Prefer not to answer | 5 |

## PLAUSIBILITY CHECKS

if QA9=no \& QB2.2=0 \& QB2.3=0 => error message for QB4.1=spouse/partner
if QA8=0 (no children in hh) \& QB2.2=0 \& QB2.3=0 => error message for QB4.1=child
if QA15=0 (no grandparents in hh ) \& QB2.2=0 \& QB2.3=0 => error message for QB4.1=grandparent
ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

| QB4.2. How would you describe your main care recipient? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Female | 1 |
| Male | 2 |
| In another way | 3 |
| Don't know | 3 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

QB4.3. How old is your main care recipient?

| $0-5$ years |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $6-11$ years | 1 |
| $12-17$ years | 2 |
| $18-24$ years | 3 |
| $25-49$ years | 4 |
| $50-64$ years | 4 |
| $65-74$ years | 5 |


| QB4.3. How old is your main care recipient? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 75 or more years | 8 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

INCLUDE PLAUSIBILITY CHECK BASED ON QB4.1:
If QB4.1=1 (main recipient is a child): show all age categories in QB4.3
If QB4.1 =2 (main recipient is a spouse): show categories 4-99 (18+)
If QB4.1=3 (main recipient is a parent): show categories 4-99 (18+)
If QB4.1 $=4$ or 5 or 99 (others and no answer): show all age categories in QB4.3
ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

| QB4.4. Where does your main care recipient live during the period you take care for him/her? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| In your household | 1 |
| In another household | 2 |
| In a care institution (full-time or part-time) | 3 |
| Don't know | 3 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

INCLUDE PLAUSIBILITY CHECK BASED ON QA7. IF QA7=1 (ONLY PERSON IN HH), IT SHOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE TO SELECT QB4.4=1.

ERROR MESSAGE: Please correct your answer. You stated that you were a 1 person household.

## ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

|  | Every day | 4 to 6 days a week | 1 to 3 days a week | Less often | Never | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QB5.1. Personal and emotional care (e.g. bathing, dressing, getting out of bed, comforting a person) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB5.2. Household help (e.g. shopping, cooking, cleaning) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB5.3. Administrative/other help (e.g. helping with paperwork, managing finances, transportation) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |

## ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

| QB6. How many hours in a typical week do you spend on caring for your main care recipient? <br> Please choose the hour band which best applies to you. If you do not provide care on a regular basis, please think of a week in which you <br> do provide care. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) |  |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 1 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 2 |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 3 |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 4 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| Don't know | 5 |
| Prefer not to answer | 6 |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE) AND IF QB4.4 $=1$ (CARE RECIPIENT LIVES IN THE SAME HOUSEHOLD OF THE RESPONDENT) AND IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QB7. Who in your household generally performs the following care tasks for your main care recipient? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QB7.1. Personal and emotional care (e.g. bathing, dressing, getting out of bed, comforting a person) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QB7.2. Household help (e.g. shopping, cooking, cleaning) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QB7.3. Administrative/ other help (e.g. helping with paperwork, managing finances, transportation) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |

## ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

QB8. Over the last 12 months, have you received unpaid help with care activities for your main care recipient from relatives, friends or other people?

| Yes, every day | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes, 4 to 6 days a week |  |
| Yes, 1 to 3 days a week | 2 |
| Yes, less often | 3 |
| No, never | 4 |
| No, I did not need it | 5 |
| Don't know |  |
| Prefer not to answer | 6 |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

## RANDOMIZE QB9. 1 to QB9.8 (fix QB9.9 as last item)

| QB9. How frequently does your main care recipient currently use the following care services? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Every day | 4 to 6 days a week | 1 to 3 days a week | Less often | Never | Don't know |
| QB9.1. Residential long-term care facilities/institutions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.2. Daycare centre | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.3. Home-based personal care workers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.4. Nurse and/or health care assistants | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.5. Domestic cleaners and helpers | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.6. Live-in carers (paid professionals living in the household) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.7. Social worker | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.8. Volunteer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QB9.9. Other healthcare professionals | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |

IF QB1 $=1$ (RESPONDENTS PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE) AND IF ALL QB9.1-QB9.9 = 5 (CARE RECIPIENT DOES NOT USE ANY CARE SERVICES) OR QB9.1-QB9.9 = 98 (RESPONDENT DOES NOT KNOW IF CARE RECIPIENT DOES NOT USES ANY CARE SERVICES) GO TO QC1

## ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENTS PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE) AND IF AT LEAST ONE AMONG QB9.1QB9.9 < 5 (CARE RECIPIENT USES CARE SERVICES)

| QB10. How many hours in a typical week does your main care recipient use for any of the care services? Please choose the hour band which best applies. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-7 weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| 8-21 weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| 22-35 weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| 36-49 weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| 50-70 weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENTS PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE) AND IF AT LEAST ONE AMONG QB9.1QB9.9 < 5 (CARE RECIPIENT USES CARE SERVICES)

| QB11. In your opinion, during the last 3 months was your main care recipient able to get all the care that she or he needed <br> from care services? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 |
| She/he does not need care from care services | 97 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QB11 = 0 (CARE RECIPIENT IS NOT ABLE TO GET ALL THE CARE NEEDED FROM CARE SERVICES)

| QB12. Why did your main care recipient not get all the care that she or he needed from care services? Please report up to 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| most important reasons. |  |
| QB12.1. Can't afford it |  |
| QB12.2. The person needing such support refuses it | 1 |
| QB12.3. The person needing service is not eligible | 2 |
| QB12.4. Administrative burden to access services is too heavy | 3 |
| QB12.5. No such care services are available | 4 |
| QB12.6. The quality of the services available is not satisfactory | 5 |
| QB12.7. Services are too far away | 6 |
| QB12.8. Waiting list is too long | 6 |
| QB12.9. No suitable opening hours | 7 |
| QB12.10. Other reasons (e.g. language barriers, lack of information) | 8 |
| Prefer not to answer | 9 |

## SECTION C - INFORMAL CHILDCARE

This section deals with care of children aged less than 25. The following questions refer to unpaid childcare, including parental childcare, grandparenting and any other forms of childcare outside offamily care. Childcare includes personal care, assistance with school tasks, managing children's activities, leisure, supervision and emotional support. Please exclude here any long-term care provided to children due to their long-standing health problems and/or disabilities.

ASK ALL

| QC1. Do you currently provide childcare? |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Not applicable | Prefer not to <br> answer |
| QC1.1. To your children (including <br> step-children and adopted children) | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| QC1.2. To other children (e.g. <br> grandchildren, children-in-law, <br> siblings, cousins, other relatives, <br> friends, neighbors) | 1 | 0 | 97 (I have no children) <br> (I have no grandchildren, children in-law, siblings, | 99 |

## ASK IF QC1.1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN)

## Section on respondent's own children (including step-children and adopted children)

Considering all your children aged less than 25 whom you are providing childcare for, please tell us:

| QC2. To how many of your children aged less than $\mathbf{2 5}$ do you currently provide childcare for? |
| :--- |
| Please enter numbers different from O whenever it applies to you, or tick "Prefer no to answer". |
| PROG: SET ALL FIGURES TO 0 SO THAT RESPONDENTS ONLY HAVE TO CHANGE THE CELL THAT IS REFERRING TO THEM. |

## ASK IF QC1.1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN)

## RANDOMIZE

QC3. On average, how often in a typical week do you provide the following types of childcare for your children?
Please exclude household tasks with regard to children (e.g. cooking, laundry, cleaning after the children). If you do not provide care on a regular basis, please think of a week in which you provide care.

|  | Every day | 4 to 6 days a week | 1 to 3 days a week | Less often | Never | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QC3.1. Personal and physical care (e.g. bathing, feeding, changing diapers, help with taking medicines) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QC3.2. Assistance with homework and school tasks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QC3.3. Planning, organising and managing children's activities and transportation (e.g. getting to appointments, sport, school and healthcare, talking to teachers, organising parties) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QC3.4. Leisure-type activities (e.g playing, reading, doing sports) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QC3.5. Supervising and emotional support (e.g. listening to, talking to and advising the children) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |

## ASK IF QC1.1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN)

| QC4. How many hours in a typical week are you involved in providing childcare for your children? Please choose the hour band <br> which best applies to you. If you do not provide care on a regular basis, please think of a week in which you do provide care. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| 8-21 weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QC1.1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN) AND IF QC2.1.a-QC2.4.a > 0 (AT LEAST ONE OWN CHILDREN AGED LESS THAN 25 LIVES WITH THE RESPONDENT) AND IF QA7 > 2 (AT LEAST THREE PEOPLE LIVE IN THE HOUSEHOLD) AND IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QC5. Who in your household generally performs the following childcare tasks? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & z \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Prefer not to answer |
| QC5.1. Personal and physical care (e.g. bathing, feeding, changing diapers, help with taking medicines) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QC5.2. Assistance with homework and school tasks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QC5.3. Planning, organising and managing children's activities and transportation (e.g. getting to appointments, sport, school and healthcare, talking to teachers, organising parties) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QC5.4. Leisure-type activities (e.g playing, reading, doing sports) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QC5.5. Supervising and emotional support (e.g. listening to, talking to and advising the child, if she or he has problems) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 97 | 98 | 99 |

ASK IF QC1.1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN) AND IF AT LEAST ONE AMONG QC2.1.a-c - QC2.3.a-c > 0 (AT LEAST ONE OWN CHILD AGED LESS THAN 18 LIVES IN THE RESPONDENT'S HOUSEHOLD)

| QC6. Over the last 12 months, have you received unpaid help with caring for children aged less than 18 from relatives, |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| friends or other people? |  |
| Yes, every day | 1 |
| Yes, 4 to 6 days a week | 2 |
| Yes, 1 or 3 days a week | 3 |
| Yes, less often | 4 |
| No, never | 5 |
| No, I did not need it | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QC2.1.a OR QC2.1.b OR QC2.1.c > 0 (RESPONDENT HAS OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS)

QC7. Do you currently use formal early childhood education and care services (e.g. kindergarten, day care centre, a crèche) for your children aged 0-5 years?

| Yes | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | 0 |  |
| Prefer not to answer | 9 | 4 |

IF QC7 = 0 OR 99 (RESPONDENT DOES NOT USE CARE SERVICES OR PREFERES NOT TO ANSWER) GO TO QC11

## ASK IF QC7 = 1 (RESPONDENT USES CARE SERVICES)

QC8. How many hours in a typical week do you usually use early childhood education and care services (e.g. kindergarten, day care centre, a crèche)? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you.

| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

IF QC2.1.a OR QC2.1.b OR QC2.1.c > 0 (RESPONDENT HAS OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS) AND IF QC7 = 0 OR 99 (RESPONDENT DOES NOT USE CARE SERVICES OR PREFERES NOT TO ANSWER) GO TO QC11

ASK IF QC2.1.a OR QC2.1.b OR QC2.1.c > 0 (RESPONDENT HAS OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS) AND IF QC7 = 1 (RESPONDENT USES CARE SERVICES)

| QC9. During the last 3 months, were you able to access all the formal early childhood education and care services (e.g. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| kindergarten, day care centre, a crèche) that you needed for your children aged 0-5 years? |  |
| Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 |
| I don't have a need for formal early childhood education and care services | 9 |
| Don't know | 97 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

IF QC9 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS ABLE TO GET ALL CARE SERVICES NEEDED FOR CHILDCARE FOR OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS) GO TO QC11

IF QC9 = 97 (RESPONDENT DOES'T NEED CARE SERVICES FOR CHILDCARE FOR OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS) GO TO QC11

ASK IF QC9 $=0$ (RESPONDENT IS NOT ABLE TO GET ALL CARE SERVICES NEEDED FOR CHILDCARE FOR OWN CHILDREN AGED 0-5 YEARS)

| QC10.1. Can't afford it | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| QC10.2. No such care services are available | 2 |
| QC10.3. Waiting list is too long | 3 |
| QC10.4. Administrative burden to access services is too heavy | 4 |
| QC10.5. The person needing service is not eligible | 5 |
| QC10.6. The quality of the services available is not satisfactory | 6 |
| QC10.7. Services are too far away | 7 |
| QC10.8. No suitable opening hours | 8 |
| QC10.9. Other reasons (e.g. language barriers, lack of information) | 9 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## Section on other children

Considering all other children (e.g. grandchildren, children-in-law, siblings, cousins, other relatives, friends, neighbors) aged less than 25 you are providing unpaid childcare for, please tell us:

## IF QC1.2 $=1$ (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OTHER CHILDREN)

| QC11. On average, how often in a typical week do you provide the following types of unpaid childcare for children other <br> than your own children? |
| :--- |

## ASK IF QC1.2 $=1$ (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OTHER CHILDREN)

| QC12. How many hours in a typical week are you providing unpaid childcare for children other than your own children? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you. If you do not provide care on a regular basis, please think of a week in which you do provide care. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-7 weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| 8-21 weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| 22-35 weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| 36-49 weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| 50-70 weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED) AND IF (QB1 = 1 OR QC1. $1=1$ OR IF QC1.2 = 1) (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE OR PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN OR TO OTHER CHILDREN)

Considering all people whom you are providing care for (e.g. people with disabilities, older people, children), regardless of their age, please tell us:

| QC13. In a typical week, how often do you experience difficulties in combining paid work with your care responsibilities? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Every day | 1 |
| 4 to 6 days a week | 2 |
| 1 to 3 days a week | 3 |
| Less often | 4 |
| Never | 4 |
| Don't know | 98 |

ASK IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED) AND IF (QB1 = 1 OR QC1.1 = 1 OR IF QC1.2 = 1) (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE OR PROVIDES CHILDCARE TO OWN CHILDREN OR TO OTHER CHILDREN)

RANDOMIZE QC14.1-QC14.10 (Fix "Prever not to answer" as last item)

| QC14. Have your caring responsibilities ever had any of the following implications for your working life or career? Please select all categories that apply. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| QC14.1. I had to reduce my working hours | 1 |
| QC14.2. I had to increase my working hours | 2 |
| QC14.3. I had to change my working schedule (e.g. work more during atypical hours) | 3 |
| QC14.4. I had to give up my job | 4 |
| QC14.5. I had to take on an additional job | 5 |
| QC14.6. I could not dedicate as much time as I would have liked to career or studies | 6 |
| QC14.7. I can/could work only occasionally | 7 |
| QC14.8. I had to take extended periods out of work (e.g. unpaid leave, career breaks) | 8 |
| QC14.9. I had to arrange external care support/hire staff (e.g. private care workers) to be able to continue with my work | 9 |
| QC14.10. I have obtained flexible working arrangements from my employer (e.g. work from home) to help me to reconcile work and care duties | 10 |
| QC14.11 Nothing of the above | 11 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## SECTION D - HOUSEWORK

The next questions focus on housework. By housework we mean activities that people do without being paid, such as grocery shopping, housework chores (cooking, cleaning, doing laundry, etc.), financial and administrative matters (paying bills, etc.), management and planning (preparing shopping lists, planning meals, etc.), house and general maintenance tasks (gardening, etc.).

## ASK ALL

| QD1. How often are you involved in housework? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every day |  |
| 4 to 6 days a week | 1 |
| 1 to 3 days a week | 2 |
| Less often | 3 |
| Never | 3 |
| Don't know | 4 |

## ASK ALL

| QD2. How frequently do you currently rely on the external services for housework (e.g. domestic cleaners, helpers, |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| gardeners, etc.)? |  |
| Daily | 1 |
| Several times a week | 2 |
| Several times a month | 3 |
| Less often | 3 |
| Never | 4 |
| Don't know | 5 |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |

## ASK IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK)

## RANDOMIZE

| QD3. How often in a typical week do you carry out the following housework activities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Every day | 4 to 6 days a week | 1 to 3 days a week | Less often | Never | Don't know |
| QD3.1. Shopping for groceries | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QD3.2. Housework chores (e.g. cooking, cleaning, laundry) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QD3.3. Financial and administrative matters (paying bills, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QD3.4. Management and planning of tasks (e.g. preparing shopping lists, planning meals) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QD3.5. House and general maintenance tasks (e.g. house repair, gardening, arranging services) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |

ASK IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK)

QD4. How many hours in a typical week are you involved in housework? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you.

| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) |  | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |  |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |  |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |  |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |  |
| Don't know | 6 |  |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |  |

## ASK IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK) AND IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

## RANDOMIZE IN SAME ORDER AS IN QD3

| QD5. Who in your household generally does the following housework activities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $$ |  |
| QD5.1. Shopping for groceries | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QD5.2. Housework chores (e.g. cooking, cleaning, laundry) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QD5.3. Financial and administrative matters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QD5.4. Management and planning of tasks (e.g. preparing shopping lists, planning meals) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |
| QD5.5. House and general maintenance tasks (e.g. house repair, gardening, arranging services) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 98 | 99 |

ASK IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK) AND IF QA3 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS EMPLOYED)

| QD6. In a typical week, how often do you experience difficulties in combining paid work with housework? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Every day |  |
| 4 to 6 days a week | 1 |
| 1 to 3 days a week | 2 |
| Less often | 3 |
| Never | 4 |
| Don't know | 4 |

## SECTION E - LEISURE

Now we ask about leisure. By leisure, we mean time spent outside of paid and unpaid work. Leisure activities are sport, religious, cultural activities, relaxing, meeting family and friends, sightseeing, holidays, watching $T V$, listening to the radio and hobbies. Leisure excludes volunteering and life sustaining activities (e.g. personal care, eating, sleeping, visiting doctor).

| ASK ALL |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| QE1. In a typical week, how often are you involved in leisure activities? |  |
| Every day | 1 |
| 4 to 6 days a week | 2 |
| 1 to 3 days a week | 2 |
| Less often | 3 |
| Never | 3 |
| Don't know | 4 |

ASK IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES)

| QE2. With whom do you usually spend your leisure time? Please choose up to 3 most relevant options ordered from the most <br> frequent scenario to the least frequent. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| QE2.1. Alone | 1 |
| QE2.2. With your partner (living or not in your household) | 2 |
| QE2.3. With your children (living or not in your household) | 3 |
| QE2.4. With your partner and children together (living or not in your household) | 3 |
| QE2.5. With other household members | 4 |
| QE2.6. With other relatives not living in your household | 5 |
| QE2.7. With others not living in your household (e.g. friends, colleagues, neighbours) | 6 |
| Prefer not to answer | 7 |

ASK IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES)
RANDOMIZE BUT KEEP 99 LAST

| QE3. What is the objective of your leisure activities? Please choose up to 3 most relevant options. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| QE3.1. Health benefit (physical and/or mental) |  |
| QE3.2. Social interaction/build social relationships | 1 |
| QE3.3. Enjoyment, fun, relaxation | 2 |
| QE3.4. Intellectual/cultural motivation | 3 |
| QE3.5. Improvement of skills and competences | 4 |
| Prefer not to answer | 4 |

## ASK IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES)

QE4. How many hours in a typical week do you spend on leisure activities (e.g. cultural activities, holidays, hobbies), excluding sports? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you.

| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## ASK IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES)

QE5. How many hours in a typical week do you spend on health-enhancing (non-work-related) physical activities (e.g. sports, jogging, cycling)? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you.

| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 5 |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 6 |
| I don't do (non-work-related) physical activities (e.g. sports, jogging, cycling) | 7 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

ASK IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES) AND IF QA9 = 1 (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

| QE6. How would you assess your leisure time in comparison with your spouse/partner's leisure time? |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| I have more leisure time than my spouse/partner | 1 |
| Me and my spouse/partner have the same amount of leisure time | 2 |
| My spouse/partner has more leisure time than me | 3 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## SECTION F - VOLUNTEERING, CHARITABLE AND POLITICAL ACTIVITIES

By volunteering, we mean unpaid activity where someone gives their time to help a not-for-profit organisation or an individual who they are not related to. Volunteering includes being engaged in cultural, educational, sporting, charitable activities, distributing food, teaching, medical support, animal care, art and music, environmental work, support fundraising, donations, etc. By political activities, we refer to running or helping a political campaign, distributing campaign material, signing a petition, protesting, contacting officials, etc.

## ASK ALL

QF1. In the last 6 months, have you been involved in voluntary, charitable or political activities outside of paid work?

| Yes | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 0 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## ASK IF QF1 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN VOLUNTARY, CHARITABLE OR POLITICAL ACTIVITIES)

## RANDOMIZE

| QF2. How often are you involved in the following voluntary, charitable or political activities outside of paid work? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Every day | 4 to 6 days a week | 1 to 3 days a week | Less often | Never | Don't know |
| QF2.1. Volunteering for an organisation outside of paid work (e.g. organisations helping people in need, educational/cultural/sports/ professional associations, social movements, trade unions, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QF2.2. Actively performing charitable activities to help other people (e.g. fundraising, donations, in-kind support, etc) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |
| QF2.3. Being actively involved in political activities (e.g. running or helping a political campaign, signing a petition, protesting, contacting officials, etc.) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 |

## ASK IF QF1 = 1 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN VOLUNTARY, CHARITABLE OR POLITICAL ACTIVITIES)

QF3. How many hours in a typical week do you spend voluntary, charitable or political activities outside of paid work? Please choose the hour band which best applies to you.

| $1-7$ weekly hours (e.g. approx. 1 hour per day) | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8-21$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 1 up to 3 hours per day) |  | 2 |
| $22-35$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 3 up to 5 hours per day) | 3 |  |
| $36-49$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 5 up to 7 hours per day) | 4 |  |
| $50-70$ weekly hours (e.g. more than 7 up to 10 hours per day) | 4 |  |
| 71 or more weekly hours (e.g. more than 10 hours per day) | 5 |  |
| Don't know | 6 |  |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |  |

## SECTION G - MEANS OF TRANSPORT, DIGITALISATION AND ENVIRONMENT

In this section, we ask about means of transport, digital tools and environmental issues to understand how they influence your time use.

ASK ALL


## ASK QG2.1. IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)

ASK QG2.2. IF QC1.1 =1 OR IF QC1.2 $=1$ (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE FOR OWN/OTHER CHILDREN)

## ASK QG2.3. IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK)

## RANDOMIZE

| QG2. How often do you usually use digital tools and resources in any way related to...? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Several times a week | Several times a month | Less often | Never | Don't know | Prefer not to answer |
| QG2.1. Your role as a carer for people who depend on help with daily living activities (e.g. E-health tools, web platforms/apps with reminders about medications) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QG2.2. Your childcare activities you perform (e.g. online learning, childcare management apps and tools, leisure time tools) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QG2.3. Your housework tasks you perform (e.g. vacuum robot, smart homes, robotic lawn mowers, ordering grocery delivery) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |

## ASK ALL

## RANDOMIZE

QG3. Currently, there is a lot of debate about the impact human society has on the global environment. Generally thinking about your personal consumption and behavior how often...

|  | Daily | Several times a week | Several <br> times a month | Less often | Never | Don't know | Prefer not to answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QG3.1. Do you avoid plastic and/or disposable/single-use products? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QG3.2. Do you recycle? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QG3.3. Do you avoid animal products? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QG3.4. Are you using low carbon-emission modes of transport (cycling, public transport, carpooling instead of your personal car)? | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |


| QG3. Currently, there is a lot of debate about the impact human society has on the global environment. Generally thinking <br> about your personal consumption and behavior how often... |
| :--- |

ASK QG4.1. IF QB1 = 1 (RESPONDENT PROVIDES INFORMAL CARE)
ASK QG4.2. IF QC1.1 =1 OR IF QC1.2 $=1$ (RESPONDENT PROVIDES CHILDCARE FOR OWN/OTHER CHILDREN)

## ASK QG4.3. IF QD1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN HOUSEWORK)

## ASK QG4.4. IF QE1 < 5 (RESPONDENT IS INVOLVED IN LEISURE ACTIVITIES)

| QG4. And now more specifically thinking about your role as a carer or during your housework and leisure activities, how <br> often do you choose environmentally friendly options in...? |
| :--- |

## SECTION H - OTHER INFORMATION

Health and well-being

## ASK ALL

The following questions ask about your health status, which includes physical, emotional, behavioural and mental health.

QH1. Are you limited because of a health problem in activities people usually do? Would you say you are...

| Severely limited (i.e. usual activities cannot be done or only done with extreme difficulty) | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Limited but not severely (i.e. usual activity can be done but only with some difficulties) | 2 | 3 |
| Not limited at all (i.e. usual activities can be done without any difficulties) | 3 |  |
| Prefer not to answer | 98 |  |

ASK IF QH1 < 3 (RESPONDENT IS ‘SEVERELY LIMITED’ OR ‘LIMITED BUT NOT SEVERELY’)

| QH2. Have you been limited for at least the past 6 months? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 1 |
| No | 0 |
| Prefer not to answer | 9 |


| ASK ALL |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| QH3. How is your health in general? |  |
| Very good | 1 |
| Good | 2 |
| Fair (neither good nor bad) | 2 |
| Bad | 3 |
| Very bad | 3 |
| Prefer not to answer | 4 |

## ASK ALL

## QH4. On average, how many hours do you sleep at night in a typical week?

If you don't know, please enter 98. If you prefer not to answer, please enter 99.
Minimum 0 - Maximum 24
$\qquad$ average hours slept per night

## Income

## ASK ALL

QH5. On average, what is your personal monthly income after the deduction of taxes and contributions to social security and pensions? Please also deduct cash transfers paid to other household members such as alimony or child support payments. Please note that personal income refers to all your wages, salaries, pensions, investments, rent and other income that you receive, after taxes and other deductions.

Income categories to be entered for each country

NOTE: Answer options are adapted individually for each country, this is an example for Ireland.

| No personal income |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than €1 300 | 1 |
| €1 300 to under €1 600 | 2 |
| €1 600 to under €1 800 | 3 |
| €1 800 to under €2 100 | 4 |
| €2 100 to under €2 300 | 5 |
| €2 300 to under €2 700 | 6 |
| €2 700 to under €3 000 | 7 |
| €3 000 to under €3 400 | 8 |
| €3 400 to under €4 200 | 9 |
| More than €4 200 | 10 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## ASK IF QA7 > 1 (AT LEAST TWO PEOPLE LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD)

QH6. A household may have different sources of income and more than one household member may contribute to it. Thinking of your household's total monthly income: is your household able to make ends meet...?

| With great difficulty | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| With difficulty | 2 |
| With some difficulty | 3 |
| Fairly easily | 4 |

QH6. A household may have different sources of income and more than one household member may contribute to it. Thinking of your household's total monthly income: is your household able to make ends meet...?

| Easily | 5 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Very easily | 6 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## ASK IF QA9 $=1$ (RESPONDENT HAS A COHABITING SPOUSE/PARTNER)

QH7. How would you assess your contribution to the household income compared to your spouse/partner's contribution?

| My spouse/partner does not contribute financially | 1 |
| :--- | :---: |
| I contribute more than my spouse/partner | 2 |
| We contribute equally | 3 |
| My spouse/partner contributes more than me | 4 |
| I do not contribute financially | 5 |
| Don't know | 98 |
| Prefer not to answer | 99 |

## FINAL SECTION

These are the last questions of the survey.
QFS1.1: ASK IF QC2.1.a-c > 0 OR IF QC2.2.a-c > 0 OR IF QC2.3.a-c > 0 (RESPONDENT HAS CHILDREN AGED LESS THAN 18 TO WHOM THEY PROVIDE CHILDCARE)

QFS1.2: ASK ALL
QFS1.3: ASK ALL
QFS1.4: ASK ALL
QFS1.5: ASK ALL

| QFS1. In general, how often are you involved in any of the following activities outside of paid work? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Every day | Several days a week | Once or twice a week | Less often | Never | Not applicable | Don't know | Prefer not to answer |
| QFS1.1. Caring for and/or educating your children | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QF1S.2. Caring for and/ or educating your grandchildren | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QFS1.3. Cooking and/or housework | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 97 | 98 | 99 |
| QFS1.4. Caring for disabled or infirm family members, neighbours or friends under 75 years old | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 | 98 | 99 |
| QFS1.5. Caring for disabled or infirm family members, neighbours or friends aged 75 or over | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 99 | 98 | 99 |

ASK ALL

| QFS2. In general, how often are you involved in any of the following activities outside work? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Several <br> times a <br> week | Several <br> times a <br> month | Less often | Never | Don't <br> know | Prefer not <br> to answer |
| QFS2.1. Voluntary or charitable <br> activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |
| QFS2.2. Sporting, cultural or <br> leisure activity outside your home | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 98 | 99 |

Weighting questions for CATI

## ASK ONLY IN LUXEMBOURG AND MALTA

D10. ASK ALL
How many people in total live in your household, including yourself?
Write down number: $\qquad$

- (INT DO NOT READ: Don't know) 98
- (INT DO NOT READ: No response) 99

D11. ASK ALL
How many people in your household, yourself included, are at least 16 years old?
Write down number: $\qquad$

- (INT DO NOT READ: Don't know) 98
- (INT DO NOT READ: No response) 99

D12. [PROG: INSERT PHONE NUMBER TYPE Reached on mobile or landline phone]

- Mobile 1 [GO TO D13]
- Landline 2 [GO TO D14]


## D13. ASK IF LANDLINE

We are talking to each other right now via landline telephone. By how many numbers can you or your household be reached via landline?
[INT: Landline refers to the usual telephone, for which Telekom or a telephone company places a line in the home. However, landline numbers can also be specified for cell phones in the so-called home zone].
[INT.: Insert „1" for refusals.]
Write down number: $\qquad$

## D14. ASK IF MOBILE

By how many landline numbers (numbers with area codes) can you or your household currently be reached by phone?

Write down number: $\qquad$

## D15. ASK IF LANDLINE

Do you privately use a mobile phone?

- Yes 1
- No 2
- Don't know 98
- No response 99

D16. ASK IF MOBILE OR IF D15 = yes
And via how many mobile numbers can you personally be reached by phone at the moment? [INT.:
.: Insert „1" for refusals.]
Thank you very much for participating in this survey.

## Annex 3. National education levels

Belgium (Flemish)

| Niet voltooid lager onderwijs |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Getuigschrift Basisonderwijs | ISCED 0 |  |
| Diploma van het lager beroepsonderwijs; Diploma van het lager technisch onderwijs | ISCED 1 |  |
| Getuigschrift van de eerste graad secundair onderwijs | ISCED 2 |  |
| Studiegetuigschrift van het (hoger) secundair beroepsonderwijs (BSO) na 6 e jaar | ISCED 2 |  |
| Studiegetuigschrift van het 7e jaar TSO, KSO of BSO; 4de graad BSO; Diploma Ondernemersopleiding | ISCED 3 |  |
| Diploma van het 7e jaar secundair beroepsonderwijs (BSO) | ISCED 4 |  |
| Diploma van het (hoger) secundair technisch of kunst onderwijs (TSO of KSO) | ISCED 4 |  |
| Diploma van het (hoger) algemeen secundair onderwijs (ASO) | ISCED 3 |  |
| Secundair onderwijs voorbereidend jaar op het hoger onderwijs |  | ISCED 3 |
| Certificaat van schakelprogramma of voorbereidingsprogramma | ISCED 4 |  |
| Diploma hogescholenonderwijs van 1 cyclus: graduaat of professionele bachelor | ISCED 5 |  |
| Universitair diploma van kandidaat of academische bachelor | ISCED 6 |  |
| Diploma hogescholenonderwijs van 2 cycli: licentiaat of master; Diploma van industrieel ingenieur |  | ISCED 6 |
| Voortgezette opleiding volgend op hogescholenonderwijs van 2 cycli | ISCED 7 |  |
| Universitair diploma van licentiaat of master; Diploma van doctor, ingenieur (universitair) |  | ISCED 7 |
| Master-na-master (universiteit); Postgraduaat; Gediplomeerde in de aanvullende studies |  | ISCED 7 |
| Doctoraat; post-doctoraat | ISCED 7 |  |

## Belgium (French)

| Aucun diplôme - N'a pas achevé l'enseignement primaire. | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecole primaire uniquement, certificat d'études de base (CEB) ou primaire | ISCED 1 |
| Diplômé de l'enseignement secondaire inférieur technique et professionnel | ISCED 2 |
| Diplômé de l'enseignement secondaire inférieur général ou du premier cycle de l'enseignement secondaire. | ISCED 2 |
| Certificat de qualification de l'enseignement professionnel | ISCED 3 |
| 7ème année de l'enseignement secondaire professionnel et technique de qualification; Diplôme de formation des <br> chefs d'entreprise. | ISCED 4 |
| 7ème année de l'enseignement secondaire professionnel permettant d'obtenir le certificat d'enseignement secondaire <br> supérieur | ISCED 4 |
| Certificat de qualification de l'enseignement technique ou artistique. | ISCED 3 |
| Certificat d'enseignement secondaire supérieur général ou technique de transition | ISCED 3 |
| Année préparatoire à l'enseignement supérieur | ISCED 4 |


| Baccalauréat professionnalisant, diplôme de l'enseignement supérieur de type court (gradués, régents, instituteurs). <br> Diplômes complémentaires de l'enseignement supérieur de type court; Enseignement artistique supérieur de type <br> court. | ISCED 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Diplôme de candidature universitaire ou bachelier universitaire | ISCED 6 |
| Diplôme de l'enseignement supérieur de type long (non universitaire); Diplôme d'ingénieur industriel (niveau <br> universitaire) | ISCED 7 |
| Master complémentaire et diplôme complémentaire après une formation d'ingénieur industriel (niveau universitaire) | ISCED 7 |
| Licence universitaire, master universitaire, ingénieur civil, docteur en médecine, docteur en médecine vétérinaire, <br> pharmacien | ISCED 7 |
| Maîtrise après une licence, DEA, DES, Master complémentaire; Agrégation | ISCED 7 |
| Doctorat avec thèse | ISCED 8 |

## Bulgaria

| НЕЭАВЪРШЕНО НАЧАЛНО | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| НАЧАЛНО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ | ISCED 1 |
| ОСНОВНО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ | ISCED 2 |
| СРЕДНО ОБЩО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ | ISCED 3 |
| СРЕДНО СПЕЦИАЛНО ОБЩО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ (ЕЭИКОВИ УЧИЛИЩА, ПРИРОДО-МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ УЧИЛИЩА) |  |
| СРЕДНО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ В УЧИЛИЩА ПО ИЭКУСТВА И СПОРТ | ISCED 3 |
| СРЕДНО ПРОФЕСИОНАЛНО ОБРАЭОВАНИЕ | ISCED 3 |
| ПОЛУВИСШЕ | ISCED 3 |
| КОЛЕЖ | ISCED 4 |
| ВИСШЕ - БАКАЛАВЪРСКА СТЕПЕН | ISCED 6 |
| ВИСШЕ - МАГИСТЪРСКА СТЕПЕН | ISCED 6 |
| ДОКТОР ПО НАУКА (КАНДИДАТ НА НАУКИТЕ) | ISCED 7 |

## Czechia

| Nedokončené základní vzdělání, neukončený 1. stupeň školní docházky (méně než 5 let) | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nedokončené základní vzdělání (5 nebo více let školní docházky, dokončen pouze 1 1. stupeň ZŠ, SzŠ, zzŠ, obecná <br> škola) | ISCED 1 |
| Základní vzdělání (měštanská škola) | ISCED 2 |
| Střední vzdělánís výučním listem, Střední vzdělání bez maturity | ISCED 3 |
| Středoškolské vzdělání bez maturity (záv̌rečná zkouška) po níž následovalo další studium zakončené závěrečnou <br> zkouškou (učební obory, rekvalifikační studium, studium jazykŭ, apod.) | ISCED 4 |
| Vyučení s maturitou, Úplné střední odborné vzdělání s maturitou | ISCED 3 |
| Střední vzdělánís maturitou následované studiem s maturitou (nástavba, kvalifikační pomaturitní studium, atd.) | ISCED 4 |
| Střední všeobecné vzdělání s maturitou (gymnázia) | ISCED 3 |
| Pomaturitní vzdělání s diplomem: Vyšší odborná škola (Dis), 5. a 6. ročník konzervatoře (absolutorium) | ISCED 5 |
| Vysokoškolské bakalářské vzdělání | ISCED 6 |


| Vysokoškolské magisterské vzdělání (Mgr., Ing., Ing. arch., MUDr., DDr., MVDr., ...) | ISCED 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vědecká výchova, postgraduální vzdělání (Ph.D., Th.D., CSc., ...) | ISCED 8 |

## Denmark

| Ingen skolegang. Børnehaveklasse. 1.-5. klasse. | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Folkeskole 6.-8. klasse | ISCED 1 |
| Folkeskole 9.-10. klasse | ISCED 2 |
| Gymnasielle uddannelser, studentereksamen, HF, HHX, HTX | ISCED 3 |
| Kort erhvervsuddannelse under 1-2 års varighed, F.eks. AMU Arbejdsmarkedsuddannelser, Basisår på Erhvervsfaglige uddannelse | ISCED 3 |
| Faglig uddannelse (håndværk, handel, landbrug mv.), F.eks. Faglærte, Social- og sundhedsassistent-uddannelsen og tilsvarende | ISCED 3 |
| Kort videregående uddannelse af op til 2-3 års varighed, F.eks. Erhvervsakademiuddannelser f.eks. datamatiker, tandplejer, byggetekniker, installatør, HD | ISCED 5 |
| Mellemlang videregående uddannelse af 3-4 års varighed. Professionsbachelorer, F.eks. Diplomingeniør, sygeplejerske, skolelærer, pædagog, journalist, HA | ISCED 6 |
| Universitetsbachelor. 1. del af kandidatuddannelse | ISCED 6 |
| Lang videregående uddannelse. Kandidatuddannelser af 5.-6. års varighed, F.eks. Cand.mag., cand.jur., cand.polyt. etc | ISCED 7 |
| Licentiat | ISCED 7 |
| Forskeruddannelse. Ph.d., doktor | ISCED 8 |

## Germany

| Grundschule nicht beendet |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grundschule beendet, aber (noch) kein Abschluss einer weiterführenden Schule | ISCED 0 |  |
| Volks-/Hauptschule bzw. Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 8. oder 9. Klasse | ISCED 1 |  |
| Mittlere Reife/Realschulabschluss bzw. Polytechnische Oberschule mit Abschluss 10. Klasse | ISCED 2 |  |
| Fachhochschulreife (Abschluss einer Fachoberschule etc.) | ISCED 2 |  |
| Abitur bzw. Erweiterte Oberschule mit Abschluss 12. Klasse (Hochschulreife) | ISCED 3 |  |
| Zwischenprüfung, Vordiplom | ISCED 3 |  |
| Diplom einer Verwaltungs-/Fachoberschule | ISCED 5 |  |
| Diplom einer Berufsakademie | ISCED 6 |  |
| Bachelor einer Verwaltungs-/Fachhochschule | ISCED 6 |  |
| Bachelor einer Berufsakademie | ISCED 6 |  |
| Bachelor einer Universität, Kunst- Musik- oder pädagogischen Hochschule | ISCED 6 |  |
| Master einer Verwaltungs-/Fachhochschule | ISCED 6 |  |
| Master einer Berufsakademie | ISCED 7 |  |
| Diplom, Magister, Staatsexamen einer Universität, Kunst-, Musik- oder pädagogischen Hochschule |  |  |
| Master oder Aufbaustudium einer Universität, Kunst-, Musik- oder pädagogischen Hochschule | ISCED 7 |  |
| Promotion: Habilitation | ISCED 7 |  |

## Estonia

| Alghariduseta (alla 4 klassi) | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lõpetatud algharidus (4-6 klassi) | ISCED 1 |
| Kutseõpe ilma alghariduse lõpetamiseta | ISCED 2 |
| Lõpetatud põhiharidus (7-9 klassi) | ISCED 2 |
| Kutseharidus põhihariduse baasil õppekava alla 2 aasta | ISCED 3 |
| Lõpetatud üldkeskharidus | ISCED 3 |
| Kutseharidus põhihariduse baasil õppekava 2 aastat või enam | ISCED 3 |
| Kutseharidus koos keskhariduse omandamisega või keskeri-/tehnikumiharidus pärast põhiharidust | ISCED 3 |
| Kutseharidus keskhariduse baasil, keskeriharidus või kutsekeskharidus keskhariduse baasil | ISCED 4 |
| Keskhariduse baasil kutsekõrgkooli, rakenduskõrgkooli diplomiõpe (kuni 2 aastat õpinguid, kuid mitte bakalaureuse kraad) | ISCED 5 |
| Kutsekõrgharidus, rakenduskõrgharidus diplomiõpe või bakalaureus (3-4 aastat õpingud) | ISCED 6 |
| Ülikooli bakalaureusekraad (3-4 aastat õpinguid) | ISCED 6 |
| Magistrikraad rakenduskõrgkoolist, kutsekõrgkoolist | ISCED 7 |
| Magistrikraad (3+2, 4+2, või 5+4 süsteemi järgi, sh integreeritud bakalaureuse- ja magistriõpe), enne 1992. aastat alustatud kõrgharidus (diplomeeritud spetsialistiõpe), arstiõpe | ISCED 7 |
| Doktorikraad (sh kandidaadikraad) | ISCED 8 |

## Ireland

| Left school before finishing primary school; NFQ Level 1 | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left school after the end of primary school but before reaching the end of junior cycle (Junior Certificate); NFQ level 2 | ISCED 1 |
| Junior Certificate; NFQ Level 3 (including Transition Year) | ISCED 2 |
| Vocational NFQ Level 3 courses, certified by FETAC, e.g. Community training centres | ISCED 2 |
| Vocational NFQ level 4 courses, e.g. FETAC specific skills courses | ISCED 3 |
| Leaving Certificate Established (LCE); Leaving Certificate Vocational Programme (LCVP); NFQ levels 4-5 | ISCED 3 |
| Leaving Certificate Applied programme (LCA); NFQ levels 4-5 | ISCED 3 |
| Apprenticeship - FAS/SOLAS etc. | ISCED 4 |
| Post-Leaving Certificate (PLC) courses (duration of 1 year); Teagasc and Failte Ireland Advanced Certificate; NFQ level 5 | ISCED 4 |
| Post-Leaving Certificate (PLC) courses of 2 or more years duration and NFQ level 6 | ISCED 4 |
| Edexcel/BTEC/BEC/TEC - Higher National Certificate (HNC) or equivalent | ISCED 4 |
| Higher Certificate - NFQ level 6 | ISCED 5 |
| Diploma, now termed 'Ordinary level bachelor degree' - NFQ level 7 | ISCED 5 |
| Higher level/honours bachelor degree; NFQ level 8 | ISCED 6 |
| Higher/Graduate Diploma, NFQ level 8 | ISCED 6 |
| Post-graduate Diploma; NFQ level 9 | ISCED 7 |
| Masters Degree, M.Phil; NFQ level 9 | ISCED 7 |
| Ph.D, D.Phil or equivalent; NFQ level 10 | ISCED 8 |

## Greece

|  | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amo入utńpıo $\delta$ пиotıкоú | ISCED 1 |
| Arodutńpıo yupvariou | ISCED 2 |
| Amo入utińpıo yevıkoú $\lambda$ ukziou | ISCED 2 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 4 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
| Mtuxio Azei | ISCED 5 |
| Meuxio AEI | ISCED 6 |
|  | ISCED 7 |
| Пtuxio AEI（5عtoús ¢oítnons）＊／По入utzरveiou | ISCED 7 |
|  | ISCED 7 |
| $\Delta ı$ акторıко́бít $\lambda \omega \mu \mathrm{a}$ | ISCED 8 |

## Spain

| Sin estudios | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Estudios primarios sin completar（menos de 5 años） | ISCED 0 |
| Antigua Educación Primaria（Certificado de Estudios Primarios） | ISCED 1 |
| Hasta $5^{\circ}$ de EGB | ISCED 1 |
| Educación Primaria（LOGSE） | ISCED 1 |
| Grado Elemental en Música y Danza | ISCED 1 |
| Bachillerato elemental | ISCED 2 |
| EGB | ISCED 2 |
| ESO | ISCED 2 |
| Bachillerato Superior o BUP | ISCED 3 |
| PREU o COU | ISCED 3 |
| Bachillerato（LOGSE） | ISCED 3 |
| F．P．de iniciación | ISCED 2 |
| Programas de garantía social，Programas de Cualificación Profesional Inicial（PCPI） | ISCED 3 |
| F．P．Oficialía | ISCED 2 |
| F．P．de 1er grado（FP I） | ISCED 2 |
| C．F．de Grado Medio（Técnico Medio） | ISCED 3 |
| C．F．de Grado Medio en Artes Plásticas y Diseño | ISCED 3 |
| Grado Medio en Música y Danza | ISCED 3 |


| F.P. Maestría | ISCED 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F.P. de $2^{\circ}$ Grado (FP II) |  |
| C.F. de Grado Superior (Técnico Superior) | ISCED 4 |
| C.F. de Grado Superior en Escuelas de Arte | ISCED 5 |
| Peritaje, Enfermería, Magisterio o Asistente Social | ISCED 5 |
| Diploma, Ingeniero o Arquitecto Técnico, 3 años de licenciatura, Grado (Bolonia), Titulo Superior en Diseño |  |
| Licenciado, Ingeniero Superior, Arquitecto, Máster (Bolonia), título Superior en Música, Danza o Arte Dramático | ISCED 6 |
| Doctorado | ISCED 6 |

## France

| Non scolarisé ou école primaire non achevée | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ecole primaire uniquement | ISCED 1 |
| Certificat d'études primaires | ISCED 1 |
| Scolarité suivie de la 6ème à la 3ème (sans brevet) | ISCED 1 |
| Brevet élémentaire, Brevet d'étude du premier cycle, Brevet des collèges (BEPC), Diplôme national du brevet (DNB) | ISCED 2 |
| Scolarité suivie de la 2 nde à la Terminale (sans Bac) | ISCED 2 |
| CAP, BEP, examen de fin d'apprentissage artisanal | ISCED 3 |
| Diplôme d'aide soignante, auxiliaire de puériculture, aide médico-pédagogique, aide à domicile | ISCED 3 |
| Baccalauréat professionnel, Brevet de technicien | ISCED 3 |
| Baccalauréat technologique, Baccalauréat de technicien, BEA, BEC, BEI, BES | ISCED 3 |
| Baccalauréat général, Brevet supérieur | ISCED 3 |
| Diplôme de la capacité en droit, Diplôme d'accès aux études universitaires (DAEU) | ISCED 4 |
| Diplôme de moniteur-éducateur, Brevet Professionnel | ISCED 4 |
| Diplôme universitaire du premier cycle (DEUG), Classes préparatoires aux grandes écoles | ISCED 5 |
| Diplôme universitaire de technologie (DUT), Brevet de technicien supérieur (BTS) | ISCED 5 |
| Certificat d'aptitude pédagogique (instituteur), Diplôme d'éducateur spécialisé, Diplôme d'assistante sociale, Diplôme paramédical (laborantin, infirmier, etc...) | ISCED 5 |
| Licence professionnelle | ISCED 6 |
| Licence | ISCED 6 |
| Diplôme d'école d'ingénieurs | ISCED 7 |
| DESS, Master deuxième année professionnel | ISCED 7 |
| Maîtrise, CAPES, CRPE (professeur des écoles) | ISCED 7 |
| DEA, DES, Master deuxième année recherche, Agrégation | ISCED 7 |
| Diplômes professionnels supérieurs divers (notaire, architecte, vétérinaire, journaliste...) | ISCED 7 |
| Diplôme des grandes écoles (hors écoles d'ingénieurs) | ISCED 7 |
| Doctorat en médecine ou équivalents (Médecine, Dentaire, Pharmacie, Vétérinaire) | ISCED 7 |
| Doctorat | ISCED 8 |

## Croatia

| Bez škole (do tri razreda osnovne škole) | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nedovršena osnovna škola (4 do 7 razreda) | ISCED 1 |
| Završena osnovna škola | ISCED 2 |
| Srednja strukovna škola u trajanju 1-2 godine (obrtnička, industrijska, KV) | ISCED 3 |
| Srednja strukovna škola u trajanju 3 godine (obrtnička, industrijska, VKV) | ISCED 3 |
| Tehničke i srodne strukovne škole, škole za zanimanje u trajanju od 4 godine ili više | ISCED 3 |
| Gimnazija | ISCED 3 |
| Stručni studij na visokoj školi ili veleučilištu (2-3 godine) | ISCED 5 |
| Preddiplomski sveučilišni studij - za akademski naslov "baccalaureus" (3-4 godine); umjetnička akademija (prediplomski studij) | ISCED 6 |
| Specijalistički diplomski stručni studij (4-5 godina); specijalist struke | ISCED 7 |
| Diplomski sveučilišni studij (4-6 godina) - stari program | ISCED 7 |
| Stručni magisterij (5 godina); umjetnička akademija (diplomski studij) | ISCED 7 |
| Postignut magisterij znanosti ili završen poslijediplomski specijalistički studij | ISCED 7 |
| Postignut doktorat znanosti | ISCED 8 |

## Italy

| Senza titolo | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Licenza elementare | ISCED 1 |
| Avviamento professionale | ISCED 2 |
| Licenza media | ISCED 2 |
| Qualifica professionale regionale post-obbligo | ISCED 3 |
| Diploma di qualifica di istituto professionale (2 o 3 anni), compreso diploma di maestro d'arte e diploma di Scuola magistrale e qualifica di infermiere (vecchio ordinamento). | ISCED 3 |
| Diploma di scuola media superiore (5 anni), istituto tecnico o professionale, compreso il diploma quinquennale dell'Istituto d'arte. | ISCED 3 |
| Diploma di scuola media superiore (4 o 5 anni), liceo classico, scientifico, linguistico o psico-pedagogici, musicale, compresi i diplomi di maturità magistrale e artistica. | ISCED 3 |
| Specializzazione post-diploma, qualifica professionale regionale post-diploma, certificato di specializzazione tecnica superiore | ISCED 4 |
| Diploma universitario vecchio ordinamento (2 o 3 anni), compresi il diploma ISEF, di servizio sociale e di statistica | ISCED 5 |
| Diploma di istruzione terziaria non universitaria: conservatorio di musica, Accademia di Belle Arti e simili | ISCED 5 |
| Laurea triennale o di primo livello | ISCED 6 |
| Master di $1^{\circ}$ livello | ISCED 6 |
| Laurea vecchio ordinamento, laurea specialistica o magistrale, laurea a ciclo unico | ISCED 7 |
| Master di $2^{\circ}$ livello | ISCED 7 |
| Specializzazioni post laurea magistrale (1 o 2 anni) | ISCED 7 |
| Specializzazioni post laurea magistrale (3 o 4 anni), comprese le specializzazioni mediche. | ISCED 7 |
| Dottorato di ricerca | ISCED 8 |

## Cyprus

|  | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aro入utńpıo Sпйotıkoú | ISCED 1 |
| Aro入utńpıo yupvaoiou | ISCED 2 |
| Amo入utńpıo yevikoú 入ukeiou | ISCED 2 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
|  | ISCED 4 |
|  | ISCED 3 |
| Mtuxio Azei | ISCED 5 |
| Пtuxio AEI | ISCED 6 |
|  | ISCED 7 |
| Пtuxio AEI（5عtoús ¢oítnons）＾／По入utzरveiou | ISCED 7 |
|  | ISCED 7 |
| $\Delta ı \delta а к т о р ı к о ́ \delta i ́ m \lambda \omega \mu a ~$ | ISCED 8 |

## Latvia

| Nav mācijies skolā | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sākumskolas－1－6 klases／nepabeigta pamatizglititiba | ISCED 1 |
| Apliecība par profesionālo pamatizglîtibu（bez vidējās） | ISCED 2 |
| Apliecība par vispārējo pamatizglîtību；Apliecība par astongadīgo izglītīu；Apliecība par nepilnu vidējo izglītīu | ISCED 2 |
| Profesionālās kvalifikācijas apliecība，pēc 9 ．klases beigšanas，mācību ilgums 1 gads | ISCED 3 |
| Atestāts par arodizglitīibu，pēc 9．klases beigšanas，mācību ilgums 3 gadi；Diploms par arodpamatizglititibas iegūšanu； Diploms par vidējo arodizglitīibu；Atestāts par kvalifikācijas piešk̦iršanu profesijā | ISCED 3 |
| Diploms par profesionālo vidējo izglîtību，pēc 9．klases beigšanas vai arodizglītības turpinājums | ISCED 3 |
| Noteikta parauga diploms un kvalifikācija specialitātē，pēc 8．／9．klases beigšanas vai arodizglititibas turpinājums | ISCED 3 |
| Atestāts par vispārējo vidējo izglitīibu，pēc 9．klases beigšanas vai arodizglitī̄as turpinājums | ISCED 3 |
| Profesionālās kvalifikācijas apliecība，pēc 12．klases beigšanas | ISCED 4 |
| Noteikta parauga diploms un kvalifikācija specialitātē，pēc 12．klases beigšanas | ISCED 4 |
| Diploms par profesionālo vidējo izglîtību，pēc 12．klases beigšanas | ISCED 4 |
| Pirmā līmeña profesionālās augstākās izglîtīas diploms | ISCED 5 |
| Profesionālā bakalaura diploms，augstākās profesionālās kvalifikācijas diploms，profesionālās augstākās izglîtības diploms | ISCED 6 |
| Bakalaura diploms－akadēmiskais | ISCED 6 |
| Profesionālā maǵistra diploms，augstākās profesionālās kvalifikācijas diploms | ISCED 7 |
| Maǵistra diploms－akadēmiskais；Pabeigta augstākā izglîtība－iegūta padomju laikā | ISCED 7 |

## Lithuania

| Nebaigtas pradinis | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pradinis | ISCED 1 |
| Profesinis, ígytas nebaigus pagrindinio išsilavinimo | ISCED 2 |
| Pagrindinis (apima ir jaunimo, vakarines mokyklas) | ISCED 2 |
| Profesinis, igytas kartu baigiant ir pagrindinį išsilavinimą | ISCED 2 |
| Profesinis, igytas po pagrindinio išsilavinimo baigimo (kai profesinio mokymo programa truko mažiau nei dvejus metus) | ISCED 3 |
| Profesinis, ígytas po pagrindinio išsilavinimo baigimo (kai profesinio mokymo programa truko dvejus ar daugiau metu̧) | ISCED 3 |
| Vidurinis (apima ir gimnazijas, licėjus, vakarines mokyklas) | ISCED 3 |
| Profesinis, ígytas po pagrindinio išsilavinimo baigimo, kartu ìgyjant ir vidurinị išsilavinimą | ISCED 3 |
| Profesinis, igytas po vidurinio išsilavinimo baigimo | ISCED 4 |
| Aukštesnysis arba specialusis vidurinis, igytas po pagrindinio išsilavinimo baigimo (apima ir technikumus, jei įstota ígijus pagrindinị išsilavinimą) | ISCED 3 |
| Aukštesnysis arba specialusis vidurinis, igytas po vidurinio išsilavinimo baigimo (apima ir technikumus, jei istota igijus vidurinị išsilavinimą) | ISCED 5 |
| Aukštasis neuniversitetinis arba koleginis | ISCED 6 |
| Aukštasis universitetinis, j̇gytas baigus bakalauro studijas | ISCED 6 |
| Aukštasis universitetinis, igytas baigus vientisąsias (iskaitant studijas aukštosiose mokyklose iki reformos 1991-1992 m.) arba specialiąsias profesines (diplomuoto specialisto) studijas po bakalauro studiju baigimo | ISCED 7 |
| Aukštasis universitetinis, i̇gytas baigus magistro arba rezidentūros studijas | ISCED 7 |
| Mokslų daktaro ar kandidato laipsnis | ISCED 8 |

## Luxembourg

| Pas de formation | ISCED 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecole primaire | ISCED 1 |  |
| Primaire supérieur |  |  |
| Enseignement complémentaire | ISCED 1 |  |
| Enseignement général secondaire inférieur | ISCED 1 |  |
| Certificat d'enseignement secondaire technique inférieur | ISCED 2 |  |
| Bac technique (jusque 13e ou 14e du régime technique) | ISCED 2 |  |
| Diplôme de fin d'études secondaires | ISCED 3 |  |
| Diplôme de technicien (jusque 13e du régime technique) | ISCED 3 |  |
| Certificat d'apprentissage professionnel | ISCED 3 |  |
| Certificat de capacité manuelle CCM | ISCED 3 |  |
| Certificat d'initiation technique et professionnelle CITP | ISCED 3 |  |


| Certificat d'Aptitude technique et professionnelle CATP | ISCED 3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Brevet de maîtrise artisanale | ISCED 4 |
| BTS, instituteur, éducateur gradué, DUT | ISCED 5-8 |
| Enseignement supérieur Bac +2, DEUG | ISCED 5-8 |
| Enseignement supérieur bac +3, Bachelor, Licence | ISCED 5-8 |
| Enseignement supérieur bac +4, Master1 | ISCED 5-8 |
| Enseignement supérieur Bac +5, DEA, DESS, Master2 | ISCED 5-8 |
| Enseignement supérieur - Doctorat | ISCED 5-8 |

## Hungary

| Nem járt iskolába; 1-3 osztályos elemi iskola vagy azzal egyenértékű |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $4-7$ osztályos elemi iskola vagy azzal egyenértékű | ISCED 0 |  |
| Befejezett általános iskola vagy azzal egyenértékű | ISCED 1 |  |
| Szakmunkásképző, szakiskola | ISCED 2 |  |
| 10. évfolyamra épülő szakképzés | ISCED 3 |  |
| Érettségi, befejezett szakközépiskola | ISCED 3 |  |
| Érettségi, befejezett gimnázium | ISCED 3 |  |
| Érettségire épülő felsőfokra nem akkreditált szakképzés, középfokú technikum | ISCED 3 |  |
| Felsőfokú akkreditált szakképzés, felsőfokú technikum | ISCED 4 |  |
| Főiskolai diploma vagy főiskolai alapképzési szak - BA /BSc | ISCED 5 |  |
| Egyetemi alapképzési szak - BA /BSc | ISCED 6 |  |
| Főiskolai mesterképzési szak - MA/MSs | ISCED 6 |  |
| Egyetemi diploma, vagy egyetemi mesterképzési szak MA/MSc | ISCED 7 |  |
| Felsőfokú végzettség tudományos fokozattal | ISCED 7 |  |

## Malta

| Ma attendejtx kindergarten | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Skola primarja | ISCED 1 |
| Skejjel sekondarji (Junior lyceum and sekondarji ohra) |  |
| Sixth Form, Upper Lyceum, junior college | ISCED 2 |
| Malta College of Arts, Science and Technology (MCAST) | ISCED 3 |
| Universita (bachelor) | ISCED 6 |
| Universita (Master's) | ISCED 6 |
| Dottorat, PHD | ISCED 7 |

## Netherlands

| Lagere school niet voltooid | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lagere school voltooid | ISCED 1 |
| LBO, VBO, LEAO, LTS ambachtsschool, huishoudschool, LHNO, VMBO (niveaus 1-3; basisberoepsgericht, kaderberoepsgericht, gemengd) | ISCED 2 |
| MULO, ULO, MAVO, VMBO (niveau 4; theoretische leerweg); HAVO jaar 3-4; VWO jaar 3-5 | ISCED 2 |
| MBO niveau 1 (duur < 2 jaar) | ISCED 3 |
| HAVO, MMS, MSVM | ISCED 3 |
| VWO, HBS, atheneum, gymnasium | ISCED 3 |
| KMBO, leerlingwezen, MEAO, MTS (duur 2-3 jaar) | ISCED 3 |
| MBO niveau 2 en 3 (duur 2-3 jaar) | ISCED 3 |
| MBO niveau 4 (duur 4 jaar) | ISCED 3 |
| MBO-plus voor havisten | ISCED 4 |
| Propedeuse WO, OU-certificaat | ISCED 5 |
| Korte HBO-opleiding einddiploma (2 of 3 jaar) | ISCED 5 |
| Bachelor HBO, kweekschool, PABO, conservatorium, MO-akten | ISCED 6 |
| Bachelor universiteit | ISCED 6 |
| HBO: Master's degree, tweede fase opleidingen; Post HBO-opleidingen, pre-master onderwijs voor HBO | ISCED 7 |
| WO/universiteit: Master's degree, tweede fase opleidingen; ingenieur, meester, doctorandus | ISCED 7 |
| Doctoraat/gepromoveerd | ISCED 8 |

## Austria

| Kein Abschluss |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volksschulabschluss (4.Schulstufe) | ISCED 0 |  |
| Abschluss einer Hauptschule, Neuen Mittelschule oder Volksschuloberstufe (8. Schulstufe) | ISCED 1 |  |
| Abschluss der Polytechnischen Schule bzw. einer einjährigen mittleren Schule (9.Schulstufe) | ISCED 2 |  |
| Abschluss der AHS-Unterstufe (8. Schulstufe an einem Gymnasium) | ISCED 2 |  |
| Lehrabschluss (Lehrabschlussprüfung) | ISCED 2 |  |
| Abschluss Berufsbildende mittlere Schule (min. 2-jährig, z.B. Handelsschule, Fachschule) | ISCED 3 |  |
| AHS-Matura (Gymnasium, auch Sonderformen oder Studienberechtigungsprüfung) | ISCED 3 |  |
| Diplom in Gesundheits- und Krankenpflege oder im medizinisch-technischen Fachdienst | ISCED 3 |  |
| BHS-Matura (HAK, HTL, HLW, BAKIP, inkl. Sonderformen und Berufsreifeprüfung) | ISCED 4 |  |
| Kolleg-Diplom, Meister-Prüfung (Werkmeister, Bauhandwerker), Abschluss eines Universitätslehrgangs | ISCED 4 |  |
| Diplom an pädagogischer Akademie, medizinischer Akademie, Sozialakademie | ISCED 5 |  |
| Bachelor/Bakkalaureat an einer Fachhochschule oder pädagogischen Hochschule | ISCED 6 |  |
| Bachelor/Bakkalaureat an einer Universität | ISCED 6 |  |
| Diplomstudienabschluss/Master an einer Fachhochschule | ISCED 6 |  |


| Diplomstudienabschluss/Master an einer Universität (inkl. Doktorat als Erstabschluss) | ISCED 7 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Postgraduale Universitätslehrgänge (aufbauend auf Diplomstudienabschluss, z.B. MBA) | ISCED 7 |
| Abschluss mit Doktorat (aufbauend auf Diplomstudienabschluss: Dr., PhD) | ISCED 8 |

## Poland

| Nieukończona szkoła podstawowa | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Świadectwo ukończenia szkoły podstawowej (6-klasowej lub 4-klasowej przed wojną) | ISCED 1 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia szkoły podstawowej 7 lub 8-klasowej | ISCED 2 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia gimnazjum | ISCED 2 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia szkoły zasadniczej zawodowej lub szkoły przysposobienia rolniczego (bez ukończenia szkoły <br> podstawowej) | ISCED 2 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia szkoły zasadniczej zawodowej lub szkoły przysposobienia rolniczego (po wcześniejszym <br> ukończeniu szkoły podstawowej) | ISCED 3 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia szkoły zasadniczej zawodowej (po wcześniejszym ukończeniu gimnazjum) | ISCED 3 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia liceum ogólnokształcącego bez matury | ISCED 3 |
| Matura uzyskana w liceum ogólnokształcącym | ISCED 3 |
| Świadectwo ukończenia średniej szkoły zawodowej (technikum, liceum zawodowe, lyceum profilowane) lub dyplom <br> technika bez matury | ISCED 3 |
| Matura uzyskana w średniej szkole zawodowej (technikum, liceum zawodowe, lyceum profilowane) | ISCED 3 |
| Dyplom technika lub świadectwo ukończenia szkoły pomaturalnej lub policealnej | ISCED 4 |
| Dyplom ukończenia kolegium lub studium nauczycielskiego | ISCED 5 |
| Dyplom licencjacki lub dyplom inżynierski | ISCED 6 |
| Dyplom magistra lub dyplom lekarza | ISCED 7 |
| Stopień naukowy doktora, doktora habilitowanego lub tytuł profesora | ISCED 8 |

## Portugal

| Nenhum | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ensino Básico 1 (até à $4^{\text {a }}$ classe, instrução primária ( $3^{\circ}$ ou $4^{\circ}$ ano)) | ISCED 1 |
| Ensino Básico 2 (preparatório $/ 5^{\circ}$ e $6^{\circ}$ anos $/ 5^{\text {a }}$ ou $6^{a}$ classe, $1^{\circ}$ ciclo dos liceus ou do ensino técnico comercial ou industrial) | ISCED 1 |
| Cursos de educação e formação de tipo 1. Atribuição de "Diploma de qualificação profissional de nível 1" | ISCED 1 |
| Ensino Básico 3 (certificado de conclusão de um dos seguintes graus de escolaridade: $9^{\circ}$ ano; $5^{\circ}$ ano dos liceus; escola comercial / industrial; $2^{\circ}$ ciclo dos liceus ou do ensino técnico comercial ou industrial) | ISCED 2 |
| Cursos de educação e formação de tipo 2. Atribuição de "Diploma de qualificação profissional de nível 2" | ISCED 2 |
| Cursos de educação e formação de tipo 3 e 4. Atribuição de "Diploma de qualificação profissional de nível 2" | ISCED 3 |
| Ensino Secundário - cursos científico-humanísticos (certificado de conclusão de um dos seguintes graus de escolaridade: $12^{\circ}$ ano; $7^{\circ}$ ano dos liceus; propedêutico; serviço cívico) | ISCED 3 |
| Ensino secundário - cursos tecnológicos, cursos artísticos especializados (artes visuais e audiovisuais, dança, música), cursos profissionais. Cursos de educação e formação de tipo 5, 6 e 7. Atribuição de "Diploma de Qualificação Profissional de Nível 3" | ISCED 3 |


| Cursos de especialização tecnológica. Atribuição de "Diploma de Especialização Tecnológica" | ISCED 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ensino superior politécnico: bacharelato de 3 anos (magistério primário, serviço social, regent agrícola); Antigos <br> cursos médios | ISCED 5 |
| Ensino superior politécnico: licenciaturas de 3-4 anos curriculares; licenciatura complemento de formação | ISCED 6 |
| Ensino superior universitário: licenciaturas de 3-4 anos curriculares; licenciatura bietápica de 4 anos | ISCED 6 |
| Pós-graduação: especialização pós-licenciatura sem atribuição de grau académico, MBA | ISCED 7 |
| Ensino superior universitário: licenciatura com mais de 4 anos curriculares; licenciatura bietápica de 5 anos | ISCED 7 |
| Mestrado (inclui Mestrado Integrado) | ISCED 7 |
| Doutoramento | ISCED 8 |

## Romania

| Fără şcoală | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Şcoală primară terminată, 4 ani | ISCED 1 |
| Gimnaziu complet, 8 ani | ISCED 2 |
| Liceu terminat 10 ani, general/teoretică | ISCED 2 |
| Liceu terminat 10 ani, tehnic / Liceu terminat 10 ani, profesional | ISCED 3 |
| Diploma de Bacalaureat, general/teoretică | ISCED 3 |
| Diplomă de Bacalaureat, tehnic / Diplomă de Bacalaureat, profesional | ISCED 3 |
| Facultate complet, 3 ani | ISCED 6 |
| Facultate complet, 4-5 ani | ISCED 7 |
| Diplomă de Doctor | ISCED 8 |

## Slovenia

| Brez šolske izobrazbe - oseba, ki nima dokončanega niti enega razreda OŠ, je brez šolske izobrazbe. Brez šolske <br> izobrazbe so tudi osebe, ki imajo narejene 3 razrede osemletke ali manj oz. 5 razredov devetletke ali manj | ISCED 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nepopolna osnovnošolska izobrazba - osebe, ki imajo več kot 3 razrede osemletke, ali več kot 5 razredov devetletke a <br> niso dokončale OŠ oz. niso pridobile spričevala o končani OŠ. | ISCED 1 |
| Osnovnošolska izobrazba - osebe, ki imajo zaključenih vseh osem oz. devet razredov Oš in so pridobile spričevalo o <br> končani Oš. | ISCED 2 |
| Nižja ali srednja poklicna izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale šolanje v 1- do 2,5-letnih programih za pridobitev nižje <br> poklicne izobrazbe oz. osebe, ki se končale šolanje v 3-letnih programih za pridobitev srednje poklicne izobrazbe; <br> certifikat o NPK. | ISCED 3 |
| Srednja strokovna izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale srednjo tehniško šolo oz. drugo strokovno izobrazbo, trajanje 4 ali <br> 5 let, opravljena matura; tudi mojstrska oziroma delovodska ali poslovodska šola. | ISCED 3 |
| Srednja splošna izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale šolanje v gimnaziji ali strokovni gimnaziji, imajo maturitetno <br> spričevalo. | ISCED 3 |
| Višja strokovna izobrazba, višješolska izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale šolanje v 2- letnem programu višjega <br> strokovnega izobraževanja na višji strokovni šli. | ISCED 5 |
| Visokošolska strokovna izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale šolanje v 3- letnem (izjemoma 4-letnem) dodiplomskem <br> visokošolskem programu na visoki strokovni šoli, fakulteti ali akademiji; 1. bolonjska stopnja. | ISCED 6 |


| Visokošolska univerzitetna izobrazba - osebe, ki so končale šolanje v 4-letnem dodiplomskem univerzitetnem <br> programu na fakulteti ali akademiji; tudi 1. bolonjska stopnja; običajno 4 leta+diploma. | ISCED 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bolonjski magisterij - magisterij 2. bolonjske stopnje. | ISCED 7 |
| Specializacija - ki so končale šolanje v 1- do 2- letnem podiplomskem specialističnem študijskem programu in si bodo <br> pridobile strokovni naziv specialist; običajno 1 leto. | ISCED 7 |
| Magisterij - osebe ki so končale šolanje v 2- letnem podiplomskem magistrskem programu in so si pridobile <br> znanstveni naslov magister znanosti oziroma magister umetnosti. V to stopnjo študija spada tudi študij MBA. V to <br> kategorijo spadajo tudi osebe, ki so se šolale 5 ali 6 let po starem univerzitetnem programu (npr. študij medicine). | ISCED 7 |
| Doktorat | ISCED 8 |

## Slovakia

| Neukončená základná škola (menej ako 4 triedy základnej školy, l'udovej školy) | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Neukončený druhý stupeň základnej školy (neukončených osem tried ludovej školy alebo neukončená meštianka) | ISCED 1 |
| Ukončený druhý stupeň základnej školy (osem tried ludovej školy alebo ukončená meštianka), kurzy na doplnenie základného vzdelania | ISCED 2 |
| Učebné programy bez výučného listu (doklad o zaučení, zaškolení praktická (dievčenská) škola, 2 ročné učebné programy, rekvalifikačné kurzy) | ISCED 3 |
| Stredná odborná škola bez maturity, stredné odborné učilište bez maturity, odborné učilište (výučný list) | ISCED 3 |
| Stredná odborná škola s maturitou, stredné odborné učilište s maturitou | ISCED 3 |
| Gymnázium (8 ročné, 4 ročné) | ISCED 3 |
| Nadstavbové pomaturitné štúdium | ISCED 4 |
| Doplňujúce pedagogické štúdium po maturite | ISCED 4 |
| Pomaturitné odborné (kvalifikačné) štúdium | ISCED 4 |
| Pomaturitné špecializačné štúdium, konzervatórium (5. a 6. ročník, absolventský diplom) | ISCED 5 |
| Vyššie odborné vzdelanie - 6 ročné (absolventský diplom, diplomovaný špecialista DiS) | ISCED 5 |
| Vysoká škola - bakalárske štúdium | ISCED 6 |
| Vysoká škola - magisterské, doktorské, inžinierske štúdium (Mgr., Ing., MUDr., JUDr. a pod., a ich ekvivalenty) | ISCED 6 |
| Doplňujúce pedagogické štúdium po vysokej škole, štátne rigorózne skúšky (PhDr., PaedDr., RNDr. a pod.) | ISCED 7 |
| Doktorandské štúdium (PhD., CSc., ArtD., atd.) | ISCED 8 |

## Finland

| Vähemmän kuin peruskoulun ala-aste tai vähemmän kuin peruskoulu |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Peruskoulun ala-aste, kansakoulu tai kansalaiskoulu | ISCED 0 |
| Peruskoulun yläaste tai keskikoulu | ISCED 1 |
| Lukio, ylioppilastutkinto | ISCED 2 |
| Ammatillinen perustutkinto, ammattitutkinto | ISCED 3 |
| Sekä ylioppilas- että ammattitutkinto | ISCED 3 |
| Erikoisammattitutkinto | ISCED 4 |
| Ammatillinen opistoasteen tutkinto | ISCED 4 |

Annexes

| Ammattikorkeakoulututkinto tai ammatillisen korkea-asteen tutkinto | ISCED 6 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Alempi korkeakoulututkinto tai kandidaatin tutkinto | ISCED 6 |
| Ylempi ammattikorkeakoulututkinto | ISCED 7 |
| Ylempi korkeakoulututkinto | ISCED 7 |
| Lisensiaatintutkinto | ISCED 7 |
| Tohtorin tutkinto | ISCED 8 |

## Sweden

| Ej avslutad Folkskola/Grundskola (1-6 skolår) | ISCED 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Avslutad Folkskola/Grundskola (7-8 skolår) | ISCED 1 |
| Avslutad Grundskola (9 skolår) / Realskola | ISCED 2 |
| Fackskola (1963-1970) / 2-årig gymnasielinje / 2-årig yrkesskola | ISCED 3 |
| Studieförberedande gymnasieprogram (3 år) | ISCED 3 |
| Gamla gymnasieutbildningar (2 år) / Flickskola | ISCED 3 |
| Yrkesinriktade gymnasieprogram (3 år) | ISCED 3 |
| 4-årig gymnasielinje (före 1995) / Tekniskt basår | ISCED 4 |
| Universitet / Högskola (1 år) med examen | ISCED 4 |
| Eftergymnasial utbildning (1 år), t.ex. KY-utb, militärutb (Ej Universitet / Högskola | ISCED 4 |
| Universitet / Högskola (2 år) med examen (högskoleexamen) | ISCED 5 |
| Eftergymnasial utbildning (3 år) /KY-utbildning (2-3 år) (Ej Universitet / Högskola) | ISCED 5 |
| Kandidat och/eller yrkesexamen från Högskola (3 år) | ISCED 6 |
| Kandidat och/eller yrkesexamen från Universitet, KTH, CTH, Handelshögskolan (3 år) | ISCED 6 |
| Magisterexamen och/eller yrkesexamen från Högskola (4 år) | ISCED 7 |
| Mastersexamen från Högskola | ISCED 7 |
| Magisterexamen och/eller yrkesexamen från Universitet, KTH, CTH, Handelshögskolan (4 år) | ISCED 7 |
| Mastersexamen från Universitet, KTH, CTH, Handelshögskolan | ISCED 7 |
| Forskarutbildning: Licentiatexamen | ISCED 7 |
| Forskarutbildning: Doktorsexamen | ISCED 8 |

## Annex 4. National income ranges (monthly)

| Member State | Currency | National income ranges (monthly) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Belgium | EUR | < 1200 | 1 200-1399 | 1 400-1 699 | 1700-1899 | $1900-2099$ | 2 100-2 399 | 2 400-2 599 | 2 600-2 899 | $2900-3399$ | $\geq 3400$ |
| Bulgaria | BGN | $\leq 360$ | 361-480 | 481-590 | 591-710 | 711-840 | 841-990 | 991-1 150 | 1 151-1400 | 1 401-1900 | > 1900 |
| Czechia | CZK | $\leq 14600$ | 14 601-17400 | 17 401-19 400 | 19 401-21 400 | 21 401-23 400 | 23 401-25900 | 25 901-29 100 | 29 101-33 200 | 33 201-41400 | > 41400 |
| Denmark | DKK | < 11200 | 11 200-13999 | 14000-15 799 | 15 800-17 799 | 17800-19 899 | 19900-22 199 | 22 200-24 699 | 24 700-27999 | 28000-33 699 | $\geq 33700$ |
| Germany | EUR | $\leq 1070$ | 1071-1410 | 1 411-1 670 | $1671-1910$ | 1911-2 170 | 2 171-2 450 | 2 451-2 790 | $2791-3240$ | 3 241-4 110 | > 4110 |
| Estonia | EUR | $\leq 500$ | 501-620 | 621-760 | 761-900 | 901-1 050 | 1051-1 210 | 1 211-1400 | 1 401-1 650 | 1 651-2 060 | > 2060 |
| Ireland | EUR | < 1300 | $1300-1599$ | 1600-1799 | 1800-2 099 | 2 100-2 299 | $2300-2699$ | $2700-2999$ | 3 000-3 399 | 3 400-4 199 | $\geq 4200$ |
| Greece | EUR | $\leq 340$ | 341-460 | 461-500 | 501-600 | 601-700 | 701-800 | 801-1 000 | 1 001-1 100 | 1 101-1400 | > 1400 |
| Spain | EUR | $\leq 520$ | 521-770 | 771-960 | 961-1 140 | 1141-1320 | $1321-1530$ | $1531-1790$ | 1 791-2 120 | $2121-2670$ | > 2670 |
| France | EUR | < 1000 | 1000-1 200 | $1201-1400$ | 1 401-1 600 | 1601-1800 | 1801-2 000 | 2001-2 300 | $2301-2600$ | $2601-3300$ | > 3300 |
| Croatia | HRK | $\leq 2200$ | 2 201-3 000 | $3001-3800$ | $3801-4400$ | 4 401-4900 | 4 901-5 500 | 5 501-6300 | 6 301-7 200 | 7 201-8700 | > 8700 |
| Italy | EUR | < 650 | 650-900 | 901-1 100 | 1 101-1 290 | 1 291-1510 | 1511-1720 | 1721-1970 | $1971-2310$ | $2311-2880$ | > 2880 |
| Cyprus | EUR | $\leq 760$ | 761-920 | 921-1 080 | 1 081-1 230 | $1231-1390$ | $1391-1570$ | $1571-1780$ | $1781-2050$ | 2051-2 640 | > 2640 |
| Latvia | EUR | $\leq 330$ | 331-430 | 431-550 | 551-660 | 661-790 | 791-910 | 911-1 060 | 1 061-1 270 | $1271-1680$ | > 1680 |
| Lithuania | EUR | $\leq 330$ | 331-430 | 431-510 | 511-620 | 621-720 | 721-840 | 841-980 | 981-1 170 | $1171-1580$ | > 1580 |
| Luxembourg | EUR | $\leq 1600$ | 1 601-2 000 | 2001-2 300 | $2301-2700$ | $2701-3200$ | 3 201-3 600 | 3 601-4 200 | 4 201-4900 | $4901-6200$ | > 6200 |
| Hungary | HUF | < 100500 | 100 500-117400 | 117 401-135 600 | 135 601-153 800 | 153 801-175 600 | 175 601-199 600 | 199 601-224 700 | 224 701-258800 | 258 801-302 400 | > 302400 |
| Malta | EUR | $\leq 600$ | 601-800 | 801-1 000 | 1001-1 200 | 1 201-1400 | 1401-1 600 | $1601-1800$ | 1801-2 000 | 2001-2 200 | > 2200 |
| Netherlands | EUR | $\leq 1200$ | 1 201-1500 | 1501-1700 | 1701-1900 | 1901-2 200 | 2 201-2 400 | 2 401-2 700 | $2701-3100$ | 3 101-3 800 | > 3800 |
| Austria | EUR | < 1200 | 1 200-1 599 | 1600-1 799 | 1800-1999 | 2000-2 299 | 2 300-2 599 | 2 600-2 799 | $2800-3199$ | 3 200-3 999 | $\geq 4000$ |
| Poland | PLN | $\leq 1500$ | 1501-1900 | $1901-2300$ | $2301-2500$ | $2501-2900$ | 2901-3 200 | 3 201-3 600 | $3601-4200$ | 4 201-5 100 | > 5100 |
| Portugal | EUR | < 440 | 440-580 | 581-690 | 691-800 | 801-900 | 901-1 030 | 1 031-1 160 | $1161-1390$ | $1391-1840$ | $>1840$ |
| Romania | RON | $\leq 600$ | 601-900 | 901-1 200 | 1 201-1400 | 1 401-1700 | 1701-2 000 | 2001-2 300 | $2301-2800$ | 2801-3500 | > 3500 |
| Slovenia | EUR | $\leq 700$ | 701-850 | 851-990 | 991-1 100 | $1101-1230$ | 1 231-1 350 | $1351-1500$ | 1501-1 680 | 1681-1970 | > 1970 |
| Slovakia | EUR | $\leq 420$ | 421-520 | 521-600 | 601-660 | 661-730 | 731-800 | 801-870 | 871-960 | 961-1 120 | > 1120 |
| Finland | EUR | $\leq 1250$ | $1251-1470$ | 1 471-1690 | $1691-1910$ | 1911-2 120 | $2121-2350$ | $2351-2630$ | $2631-3000$ | $3001-3640$ | > 3640 |
| Sweden | SEK | $\leq 11500$ | 11501-14600 | 14 601-17000 | 17001-19700 | $19701-22300$ | 22 301-24900 | 24 901-27900 | 27901-31 800 | 31 801-38 000 | > 38000 |

Source: Eurostat, Distribution of income by quantiles - EU-SILC and ECHP surveys (ILC_DIO1), EU statistics on income and living conditions data for 2020/2021.

## Annex 5. Survey duration by Member State

| Member State | Median | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 00:10:59 | 00:01:29 | 00:59:59 |
| Bulgaria | 00:14:29 | 00:03:31 | 00:59:53 |
| Czechia | 00:13:58 | 00:03:50 | 00:59:23 |
| Denmark | 00:10:56 | 00:02:43 | 00:59:06 |
| Germany | 00:11:17 | 00:01:41 | 00:59:24 |
| Estonia | 00:13:00 | 00:03:28 | 00:57:12 |
| Ireland | 00:10:20 | 00:02:55 | 00:56:04 |
| Greece | 00:13:22 | 00:03:27 | 00:58:56 |
| Spain | 00:11:53 | 00:03:11 | 00:59:58 |
| France | 00:10:44 | 00:03:03 | 00:59:10 |
| Croatia | 00:14:01 | 00:04:14 | 00:59:38 |
| Italy | 00:10:49 | 00:02:27 | 00:59:08 |
| Cyprus | 00:15:23 | 00:05:04 | 00:57:19 |
| Latvia | 00:13:03 | 00:03:26 | 00:58:37 |
| Lithuania | 00:13:57 | 00:02:36 | 00:59:06 |
| Luxembourg | 00:21:45 | 00:08:26 | 00:59:25 |
| Hungary | 00:12:04 | 00:03:08 | 00:59:57 |
| Malta | 00:19:59 | 00:08:18 | 01:29:20 |
| Netherlands | 00:11:37 | 00:02:28 | 00:59:51 |
| Austria | 00:10:37 | 00:02:13 | 00:57:47 |
| Poland | 00:13:01 | 00:03:08 | 00:59:32 |
| Portugal | 00:13:54 | 00:03:03 | 00:59:53 |
| Romania | 00:13:44 | 00:03:30 | 00:59:48 |
| Slovenia | 00:12:52 | 00:03:15 | 00:59:21 |
| Slovakia | 00:14:29 | 00:04:09 | 00:59:37 |
| Finland | 00:11:07 | 00:03:06 | 00:58:52 |
| Sweden | 00:11:34 | 00:02:43 | 00:59:48 |

## GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU

## IN PERSON

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you at: https://europa.eu/european-union/contact

ON THE PHONE OR BY EMAIL
Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union.
You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 0080067891011 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696, or
- by email via: https://europa.eu/contact


## FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

## ONLINE

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website at: https://europa.eu

## EU PUBLICATIONS

You can download or order free and priced EU publications from: https://publications.europa.eu/ eubookshop. Multiple copies of free publications may be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local information centre (see https://europa.eu/contact).

## EU LAW AND RELATED DOCUMENTS

For access to legal information from the EU, including all EU law since 1951 in all the official language versions, go to EUR-Lex at: http://eur-lex.europa.eu

## OPEN DATA FROM THE EU

The EU Open Data Portal (http://data.europa.eu/euodp) provides access to datasets from the EU. Data can be downloaded and reused for free, for both commercial and non-commercial purposes.
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[^0]:    $\left.{ }^{(1}\right)$ EIGE commissioned Eurocarers, the European Centre for Social Welfare Policy and Research, the Italian National Institute on Health and Science on Ageing and Ipsos GmbH to carry out the survey.
    $\left(^{2}\right)$ EIGE's website provides more information on the Gender Equality Index (https://eige.europa.eu/gender-equality-index) and the Gender Statistics Database (https://eige.europa.eu/gender-statistics/dgs).
    ${ }^{(3)}$ More information on the time domain of the Gender Equality Index is available on the EIGE website (https://eige.europa.eu/gender-equality-index/2022/domain/time).

[^1]:    $\left({ }^{(12)}\right.$ Cities are densely populated areas where at least $50 \%$ of the population lives in urban centres. Towns are intermediate-density areas, defined as areas where less then $50 \%$ of the population lives in rural grid cells and less then $50 \%$ of the population lives in urban centres. Rural areas are thinly populated areas where more than $50 \%$ of the population lives in rural grid cells (see the Eurostat web page 'Degree of urbanisation - Background' (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/degree-of-urbanisation/background)).
    $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ In Malta, CATI fieldwork was conducted by Misco Malta; in Luxembourg, FFIND was commissioned to run the survey.

[^2]:    ${ }^{14}$ ) European Commission (2020), Standardised Social Variables - Implementing guidelines, Brussels (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ documents/54431/1966394/Standardised-key-social-variables.pdf).

[^3]:    $\left({ }^{15}\right)$ For more details, see EIGE (2017), Gender Equality Index 2017: Methodological report, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg (https://eige.europa.eu/publications-resources/publications/gender-equality-index-2017-methodological-report?language_content_ entity=en).

[^4]:    $\left({ }^{16}\right)$ The targeted consultation, which took place between May and June 2022, engaged EU-level policymakers, civil society organisations, social partners and EU agencies.

